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Background

Pawnbrokers, when they make a pledge loan, are required to issue the pledgor (or a representative of the pledgor) a ticket detailing, among other things, the item pledged and the interest rate. The holder of the pawn ticket is presumed to be entitled to redeem the pledge through the payment of the principal, interest, and fees. Generally, Oregon law provides that a pawnbroker is not required to deliver a pledge unless the pawn ticket is presented. Oregon’s Pawnbrokers Act does, however, address instances where a pawn ticket has been lost, stolen or destroyed. On March 30, 2016, the Oregon Court of Appeals decided Hughes v. Ephrem and H&B Jewelry and Loan\(^1\) interpreting Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 726.330. The opinion does not change the Pawnbrokers Act or the various responsibilities of pawnbrokers in general. The opinion makes clear that a writ of garnishment, as an act of the court, is an exemption from the general rule that a ticket must be presented to redeem a pledge.

The division distributed the draft bulletin to stakeholders and interested parties for comment. The Department did not receive any comments. The Bulletin is being published as proposed.

____________________________________________________________________

Aeron Teverbaugh
Senior Policy Analyst

\(^1\) Hughes v. Ephrem and H&B Jewelry and Loan, 277 Or App 193 (2016).
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