1 2 3 4	OREGON	INSURANCE DIVISION BULLETIN INS 2014-2	
5 6	TO:	All Health Insurers, Health Care Service Contractors and Other Interested Persons	
7 8 9	DATE:	September 11, 2014	
10 11	SUBJECT:	Autism Spectrum Disorder; Applied Behavioral Analysis Therapy	
12	Introduction	o <u>n</u>	
13	Purpose of Bulletin		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	expectations mandates. division reg pervasive de	Today, the Oregon Insurance Division (division) issued bulletin INS 2014-1 about the expectations of the division for insurers in implementing state and federal mental health mandates. This bulletin provides additional guidance to insurers about the expectations of the division regarding health benefit plan coverage for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and other pervasive development disorders (PDDs), including the treatment known as applied behavioral analysis (ABA).	
21 22 23 24	PDD, and A	to the laws described in bulletin INS 2014-1, the specific statutes related to ASD, BA are: 5.743A.190 (Oregon PDD); and	
25 26 27	2. Enro	olled Senate Bill 365 (2013 Legislative Session), 2013 Oregon Laws Chapter 771 (SE In addition to adding provisions to the Insurance Code, SB 365 enacted ORS 800, creating the Behavior Analysis Regulatory Board (BARB).	
28	<u>Summary</u>		
29 30		n expects insurers to comply with the following guidelines:	
31 32 33		nsurer must adjudicate ASD and PDDs claims as mental health claims subject to and federal mental health parity laws.	
34 35 36 37	expe	nsurer may not deny treatment for ABA therapy on the basis that the treatment is erimental or investigational except in limited circumstances based on a case by case rmination.	
38 39 40 41	socia	nsurer may not apply a categorical exclusion (such as exclusions for developmental, al or educational therapies) that results in the mandate being effectively meaningless ASD or PDDs.	
42	• ABA	A therapy should be considered a medical service for purposes of ORS 743A.190.	

1	
2	• An insurer may not impose conditions for reimbursement (e.g., reimbursement from a
3	specific insurer) on grandfathered providers (those being reimbursed for ABA treatment
4	on August 14, 2013) until licensure is required on January 1, 2016.
5	on riagast 11, 2015) and noonsale is required on valuary 1, 2010.
	SD 265's 25 day treatment limits on the year of ADA thereavy violate the federal Mantal
6	• SB 365's 25-day treatment limits on the use of ABA therapy violate the federal Mental
7	Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) and are therefore prohibited.
8	
9	
10	Related Bulletins
11	A \ ′
12	INS 2014-1 related to mental health parity provides general guidelines for all mental and nervous
13	conditions. Because ASD and PDD are mental health conditions subject to all of the mental
14	health laws described in bulletin INS 2014-1, all of the discussion in bulletin INS 2014-1 applies
15	to ASD and PDD. This bulletin describes additional considerations specific to ASD and other
16	PDDs and to ABA.
	,
17	Discussion
18	Effective Dates
19	The Oregon PDD statute applies to health benefit plans issued or renewed on or after January 1,
20	2008. The Oregon PDD statute was incorporated by law into the policy selected by Oregon as its
21	benchmark plan establishing Oregon's essential health benefits (EHB) plan, under OAR 836-
22	053-0008, which, with limited exceptions, establishes the baseline requirements for all individual
23	and small group ACA-compliant health benefit plans issued or renewed on or after January 1,
24	2014.
4 -T	2014.
25	SB 365 requires health benefit plans to cover screening, diagnosis and medically necessary
26	treatment for ASD, including ABA therapy. It applies to insured health plans that renew on or
27	after January 1, 2016.
20	
28	<u>Coverage Requirements</u>
29	
30	Under State Law:
31	
32	DSM-IV defines five conditions as PDD (shown here with their DSM-IV code):
33	299.00 Autistic Disorder
34	299.80 Pervasive Developmental Disorder, Not Otherwise Specified
35	299.80 Asperger's Disorder
36	299.80 Rett's Disorder
37	299.10 Childhood Disintegrative Disorder
38	2
39	These diagnoses come within the division's rule defining "mental or nervous conditions." OAR
40	836-053-1404(1)(a). PDDs are therefore subject to Oregon's mental health parity law.
41	030 033 1707(1)(a). 1 DDs are incretore subject to Oregon's mental health parity law.
41	

SB 365 defines ASD using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5). As bulletin INS 2014-1 mentions, the division has adopted a rule to update the references in OAR 836-053-1404(1)(a) to include the parallel references in DSM-5. ASD as defined in SB 365 is a PDD under ORS 743A.190 and a "mental or nervous disorder" under Oregon MHP.

The provisions of SB 365 that apply only starting January 1, 2016 are those specifically concerning ABA. The general requirement to cover ASD already exists in current law. Insurers should provide access to ABA as they would to any other medical therapy.

Under Federal Law:

As bulletin 2014-Y summarizes, the regulations under MHPAEA prohibit quantitative treatment limits to mental health benefits in any classification more restrictive than the predominant quantitative treatment limitation of that type applied to substantially all medical benefits in the same classification. Because of this limitation, it is likely that the quantitative treatment limitations included in SB 365 (25 hours per week) violate MHPAEA.

Exclusions or Limitations

An insurer may not apply arbitrary age limits to coverage of ABA therapy. The appropriateness and medical necessity of the treatment must be considered in determining whether to approve ABA therapy for a person of any age. Although the Legislature used age nine as the cutoff for the ABA mandate in SB 365, this does not imply that age nine is the appropriate cutoff under general standards of mental health treatment.

Some insurers have included in policies broad-based treatment exclusions that are based on categories such as "academic or social skills training," "educational," or "developmental disabilities" and applied these to deny coverage for ABA therapy. Recent opinions by courts and independent review organizations (IROs), however, have indicated that such broad exclusions are prohibited if the particular treatment requested is not examined on a case-by-case basis to determine whether the proposed treatment is appropriate and medically necessary. An insurer may not profess to include ASD and PDD coverage required by the state and federal mental health and PDD mandates while at the same time applying a broad exclusion that prevents the insured from receiving medically necessary treatment.

Therapist Qualifications

ORS 676.800 establishes BARB and sets out the requirements for licensing and registering professionals who provide treatment for ASD using ABA. Although SB 365 prohibits a nonlicensed provider from seeking reimbursement from an insurer starting in 2016, the bill recognizes the need to allow continued services until the licensing procedures are in place. As a result, SB 365 grandfathers the services of certain providers on the effective date of the Act (August 14, 2013) and allows those providers to continue to claim reimbursement without registering or licensing.

 This grandfathering does not limit the provider to reimbursement from a carrier from whom the provider is being reimbursed on the effective date. Grandfathering applies if the individual is actively practicing ABA on August 14, 2013. Thus, at a minimum, the division expects insurers to provide reimbursement to grandfathered ABA providers until expiration of the grandfathering period on January 1, 2016.

6

- At this time, BARB expects the ABA licensing process to be available on December 1, 2014.
- 8 After that date, a new provider who was <u>not</u> being reimbursed on the effective date of the Act
- 9 must be licensed in order to be reimbursed by an insurer.

10 11

<u>Independent Review Organizations</u>

- 12 IROs have repeatedly overturned decisions of insurers that denied coverage for ABA therapy on
- the basis that the therapy is experimental or investigational. The division finds that continued
- denial of coverage of ABA therapy on these grounds is unacceptable in most circumstances. The
- division will examine IROs decisions regarding ASD treatments including ABA therapy. When
- an IRO finds that a treatment is appropriate and medically necessary, the division will look
- 17 closely at any subsequent denial on the same basis to ascertain whether the insurer continues to
- deny the same treatment for other insureds on a basis that has been overruled by the IRO. The
- division understands that an IRO determination is not binding beyond the individual case, but the
- 20 division considers patterns of IRO decisions significant evidence in determining whether an
- 21 ABA treatment denial is appropriate.
- The division has identified 22 instances since 2008 in which insurers' denials of ABA therapy
- were overturned by an IRO. The insurers' denials were based on determinations that the
- 24 treatment was experimental or investigational or lacked medical necessity. In these instances, the
- determinations were overturned by the IRO, which found that such treatment is now the
- 26 recognized standard of care for autism.

2728

III. Enforcement

An insurer's denial of coverage on a basis prohibited by this bulletin could subject the insurer to enforcement or other action for being in violation of the Oregon Insurance Code.

31 32