

OREGON DIVISION OF FINANCIAL REGULATION INSURANCE PRODUCT REGULATION AND COMPLIANCE LIFE AND HEALTH PROGRAM

MARKET CONDUCT EXAMINATION
REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH EQUITY ACT

OF

REGENCE BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF OREGON

AS OF

DECEMBER 31, 2020

NAIC No. 54933

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	4
FINDINGS – COMPLAINT REVIEW	5
FINDINGS – MEDICAL CLAIMS REVIEW	5
RECOMMENDATIONS	16
APPENDIX	18

FOREWORD

January 23, 2023

Honorable Andrew Stolfi **Director, Insurance Commissioner** Department of Consumer and Business Services 350 Winter Street NE Salem, Oregon 97301-3883

Dear Director Stolfi:

This market conduct examination report of Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Oregon (insurer) was prepared by independent examiners contracting with the Oregon Division of Financial Regulation (division). A market conduct examination is conducted for the purpose of examining certain business practices of insurers licensed to conduct business in Oregon. The examiners conducted the examination of the insurer in accordance with the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 731.300. All work papers and data developed in the production of this report are the sole property of the division. The examiner in charge was Jimmy R Potts, CIE, MCM, FLMI, CLU, AIRC.

Certain unacceptable or noncomplying practices may not have been discovered in the course of this examination. Additionally, findings may not be material to all areas that would serve to assist the commissioner. Failure to identify or criticize specific insurer practices does not constitute acceptance of those practices by the division.

Respectfully Submitted, Tarhia SIZEMOVE Jashia Symure

Tashia Sizemore

Life and Health Program Manager

Signed and acknowledged before me on January 24, 2023 by Janet Vitus as notary in marion County, State of Oregon. Sant Vitus

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOVEMBER 21, 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2017, Oregon enacted House Bill (HB) 3391, known as The Reproductive Health Equity Act (RHEA). HB 3391 is now codified, in part, as Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 743A.067. RHEA requires, among other things, that a health benefit plan may not impose on an enrollee a deductible, coinsurance, copayment or any other cost-sharing requirements on the specific reproductive health services.

The focus of this targeted market conduct examination includes, but was not limited to, both insurer's claims as related to the RHEA codified at ORS 743A.067. The examiners identified numerous instances where the insurer was not in compliance with RHEA and other laws in its administration of claims. The examiners, as set forth in detail in this examination report, concluded that the insurer's claims processing systems and procedures did not identify all claims which should have been considered under ORS 743A.067.

Examiners identified instances of non-compliance with RHEA in the insurer's administration of claims. The examiners concluded that claims processing systems and procedures did not identify all claims which should have been considered under ORS 743A.067. Specific preliminary findings related to the examination are summarized below:

- Noncompliance with ORS 743A.067 relating to the processing of claims The insurer failed to equitably settle claims when the insurer applied member cost share to services or supplies where such services or supplies are required to be provided without member cost share under Oregon law. The insurer's claims processing system failed to accurately pay claims according to RHEA. The insurer did not consider certain services subject to RHEA when those services were billed using certain CPT codes or received in specific settings. In some instances, even though the insurer acknowledged that the underlying service was subject to RHEA, the insurer inappropriately applied criteria that limited when RHEA services were paid without member cost share, resulting in member cost share being applied inappropriately.
- Noncompliance with the requirement to reimburse 12-month contraceptive
 prescription refills as required by ORS 743A.066 and noncompliance with contraception
 coverage requirements under 743A.067 The insurer failed to provide coverage for
 prescription contraceptive drugs which did not have a therapeutic equivalent and provided
 inconsistent access to 12-month refills of contraception.¹

The examiners observed during their review of claims adjudicated that it appeared that all pertinent diagnosis codes were not considered when determining if a service should be considered without applying member cost share. Further, there are certain covered RHEA items

¹ The insurer provided information to demonstrate in some cases 12-month contraceptives fill requests were approved, however, the Division has remaining questions and will be requesting additional information to understand the insurer's handling of 12-month contraceptive fill requests.

that are to be paid without member cost share without consideration of the reason for the visit or the attendant diagnosis codes, even if the purpose for the specific services was other than for preventive care.

This examination report, relating to RHEA claims for the period of January 1, 2019, to December 31, 2020, may be forwarded to the division's enforcement unit for enforcement consideration while the insurer responds to the corrective actions identified in the examination report.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The targeted market conduct examination of the insurer was conducted in accordance with the standards and procedures established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) and under the authority set forth in ORS 731.300 and direction from the division. The examination of the insurer covered the period of time from January 1, 2019, to December 31, 2020, for business reviewed. The purpose of the examination was to determine the insurer's compliance with ORS 743A.067, Oregon's Reproductive and Health Equity Act.

The following is taken directly from written documentation provided by Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Oregon:

Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Oregon (RBCBSO), is an Oregon nonprofit taxable health care services contractor, incorporated in Oregon on October 7, 1941, and its sole member is Regence Insurance Holding Corporation (RIHC).

RIHC is an Oregon nonprofit non-Insurance holding insurer, and its sole member is Cambia Health Solutions, Inc. (Cambia), an Oregon nonprofit non-insurer holding insurer. Cambia is the ultimate parent of RBCBSO.

RBCBSO is a health care service contractor licensed with the state of Oregon under an insurer's Certificate of Authority issued May 5, 1942, and with the state of Washington under a Certificate of Registration issued November 14, 1983.

RBCBSO holds foreign authority to conduct business with the Secretary of State in the states of Minnesota, Washington, and Wyoming.

Cambia is a fully taxed, nonprofit corporation that traces its history back to 1917 and, together with its affiliates, serves as a catalyst to transform health care, creating a person-focused and economically sustainable for its customers and their families.

The examiners utilized examinations by test and by sample. Examination by test involves the review of all records within the populations, while examination by sample involves the review of a selected number of records from within the population. File sampling was based on a review of complaints and RHEA medical and prescription drug claims incurred during the period under

examination and selected at random using computer software applied to data files provided by the insurer. Samples are tested for compliance with standards established by the NAIC and adopted by the division.

FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS - COMPLAINT REVIEW

The examiners reviewed the entire population of complaints identified by the insurer and did not find any reportable exceptions.

FINDINGSAND OBSERVATIONS - MEDICAL CLAIMS REVIEW

The examiners reviewed paid and denied medical claims on the insurer's claim processing system to determine if the claim was properly adjudicated in accordance with Oregon's RHEA law. Where apparent violations were noted, the examiners issued findings, by line of business and by paid or denied claims status. The examiners found that the claims adjudication was fairly consistent (if a certain CPT code representing a service was subject to member cost share in one instance it would most likely be subject to member cost share in other files reviewed). However, no assumptions were made that this would be true, and each identified apparent violation was carefully reviewed by the examiners. For each violation noted, the examiners requested that the insurer provide a PDF copy of the claim form submitted and all applicable explanation of benefits (EOB) related to that particular claim. Further, the examiners generally asked that the insurer provide a written response to any claims where the examiners had questions regarding the processing of such claim prior to the examiners determining if such claim was processed incorrectly and a finding of noncompliance was issued regarding that claim.

In instances where the primary diagnosis code would indicate that the reason for the visit was a women's well woman, preventive, or gynecological visit, the examiners required RHEA listed services to be paid without member cost share. In other instances, the examiners determined that the primary reason for the visit was not related to RHEA and a member cost share could be applied to services that were not specific to RHEA. However, without regard to the listed diagnosis code, if a service was performed that was listed in ORS 743A.067, including screenings and services identified by the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) or the Health Resources and Services Administration of the US Department of Health and Human Services (HRSA) as a recommended preventive service, and member cost share was applied to that service, then such claims were identified as an apparent violation. Further, there could be multiple apparent violations identified and reported in a single file, however, for reporting of overall violations noted, each file is only counted once by the examiners.

EXAMINERS' PRELIMINARY COMMENTS – APPLICABLE TO ALL FINDINGS

Many of the examiners' findings were similar across all lines of business. In an effort to avoid needless repetition, the examiners have included their general comments below. The examiners' specific findings for each line of business are set forth by finding number following the examiners comments.

Provider billing using generic CPT and diagnosis codes

In determining whether claims should be subject to RHEA, the examiner considered CPT codes and stated diagnosis codes. The examiners carefully reviewed the insurer's position and disagree that it was appropriate to assess a member cost share in several instances. Where the examiners cited a violation of member cost share requirements it is because the examiners reviewed the claim in totality and determined that certain services, giving deference to the stated diagnosis codes, should have been paid without member cost share. In many instances the entire claim was comprised of services which were required to be covered without member cost share, but member cost share was applied to one or more of the subject CPT codes. Where the examiners concluded that the claim was subject to RHEA and the insurer assessed member cost share, those claims were cited as being in violation of Oregon law.

When considering claims that are subject to RHEA, the insurer appears to be focused on how the provider billed the claim rather than if the claim should be considered under RHEA. For instance, the examiners noted several claims where the CPT code was related to RHEA services but the insurer assessed member cost share when adjudicating the claim because the CPT or diagnosis code was not specific enough for the insurer. The statute does not require CPT or diagnosis codes related to RHEA services be the primary codes, only that RHEA services be covered without member cost share. The insurer failed to identify this distinction in its claims processing. The required coverage is not dependent upon specific diagnosis codes. The purpose of ORS 743A.067 is to improve access to reproductive health services.

Reimbursement of prescription and non-prescription contraceptive claims

The requirement to reimburse the provider for contraceptive drugs, devices or supplies is not limited to pharmacy providers. Denial of contraceptive drugs, devices or supplies provided by a health care provider is in violation of Oregon law.

In certain instances, contraceptive devices billed by medical providers, i.e., condoms, were provided and were denied and the EOB indicated member cost share, usually the full billed amount, was applicable. The examiners have upheld all of these findings where member cost share was indicated as RHEA law requires coverage of contraceptive supplies without member cost share.

ACA exceptions for primary procedure

The examiners noted that the claims were paid in accordance with the provider contract. When

the provider billed each item separately, the insurer considered, in accordance with the provider's contract, payment at an allowed amount. This occurred either in full or discounted and then applied all allowed amounts in excess of the contracted payment to member cost share. However, the examiners were unable to definitively determine that the services that should have been covered without member cost share were not subjected to member cost share. The provisions of a provider contract cannot impose member cost share amounts on services which are not subject to member cost share under Oregon law. Therefore, in those instances where the claims were rolled up and a definitive determination could not be made by the examiners that RHEA claims were not subject to member cost share such claims were cited as violations of Oregon law. The purpose of the RHEA statute is to provide reproductive health services without member cost share.

Preventive vs. diagnostic

The examiners conducted this review in accordance with the coverage requirements under ORS 743A.067, which requires coverage for specific services performed by the health care provider, including screening tests where a specific ACA diagnosis for that condition is not necessarily provided. For instance, if a person went in for treatment of nausea and a screening for anemia was conducted, such screening is a covered service under RHEA. The requirement of providing benefits without member cost share is not limited to services considered preventative care under the ACA.

The examiners, in addition to ORS 743A.067, reviewed 45 CFR Part 147.130(a)(2)(i) which addresses the application of member cost share under ACA solely to the office visit. Specifically, it states:

- (i) If an item or service described in paragraph(a)(1) of this section is billed separately (or is tracked as individual encounter data separately) from an office visit, then a plan or issuer may impose cost-sharing requirements with respect to the office visit.
- (ii) If an item or service described in <u>paragraph (a)(1)</u> of this section is not billed separately (or is not tracked as individual encounter data separately) from an office visit and the primary purpose of the office visit is the delivery of such an item or service, then a plan or issuer may not impose cost-sharing requirements with respect to the office visit.

The examiners cited instances where preventive lab tests were not covered without member cost share. For instance, if a woman went in for a preventive visit and tests are conducted which would not normally be considered RHEA screenings, i.e., blood panels, labs, etc., such services would still need to be covered under the RHEA law if listed as a covered screening. In reviewing the quoted federal law, it appears that the law is silent on the carrier's ability to apply member cost share to preventive/screening lab tests. Absent law to the contrary, the examiners assert that the insurer should have considered preventive/screening lab tests conducted in conjunction with women's preventive or gynecological visits without applying member cost share.

Inadequacies in claims processing system

The insurer's claims process, including system and programming, should be robust enough to identify, by CPT and diagnosis codes, which services should be covered without member cost share. The examiners observed during their review of sampled claims that it appeared the insurer failed to consider all pertinent regulatory requirements when determining if a service should be considered without applying member cost share. Further, there are certain covered RHEA items that are to be paid without member cost share without consideration of the reason for the visit and any associated diagnosis codes, specifically abortion and contraception.

The examiners note that in certain instances claims were adjudicated in accordance with the provider's contract with the insurer. On occasion the provider contract would require that claims be paid at a certain level, subject to a specified dollar amount and anything over that dollar amount could be subject to member cost share. In those instances, it is possible that a RHEA CPT code was not paid without member cost share, but was rolled into the amount subject to member cost share. The provider contract cannot cause RHEA claims to be paid subject to member cost share.

Further, while the examiners identified certain CPT codes that in their opinion represent services that need to be covered without cost share to comply with RHEA the list of CPT codes utilized by the examiners may not have been exhaustive. The insurer is responsible to assure that all claims are adjusted in accordance with Oregon law and the insurer's policy provisions.

The examiners conducted an analysis of codes they identified as RHEA codes and found that the insurer had not identified all potential codes in its universe of claims which should have been covered without member cost share. The insurer was not in compliance with ORS 743A.067 as it did not capture all CPT codes subject to RHEA. Although there are multiple instances of noncompliance the examiners are citing the failure to identify all RHEA CPT codes as one violation of ORS 743A.067.

Finding 1: Noncompliance with ORS 743A.067 relating to the processing of claims

Denied large group medical claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 108 denied large group RHEA claims from a population of 8,364 denied claims. The insurer, in its instructions for providing denied claims data, was instructed to consider a claim denied if any portion of the claim was denied. Therefore, the examiners would review the claim in totality as it was adjudicated. If upon the examiners' review it was determined that a portion of the claim was incorrectly paid, it would be cited even though it was not the denied component. The examiners determined that violations of Oregon law occurred in the processing of certain claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with 743A.067 in that the insurer applied member cost share to

services or supplies where such services or supplies are required to be provided without member cost share by Oregon law.

There were 58 violations noted which affected 12 denied claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Denied claims - Large group

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
8,364	108	11	10%

Paid large group medical claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 109 paid RHEA claims from a population of 90,333 paid claims. The examiners determined that violations of Oregon law occurred in the processing of certain claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with 743A.067 in that the insurer applied member cost share to services or supplies where such services or supplies are required to be provided without member cost share by Oregon law. The purpose of the RHEA statute is to improve access to reproductive services.

There were 49 violations noted which affected 10 paid claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Paid claims - Large group

Population	Sample Size	Number of errors	Error Rate
90,333	109	10	9.1%

Denied small group medical claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 108 denied RHEA claims from a population of 7,020 denied claims. The insurer, in its instructions for providing denied claims data, was instructed to consider a claim denied if any portion of the claim was denied. Therefore, the examiners would review the claim in totality as it was adjudicated. If upon the examiners' review it was determined that a portion of the claim was incorrectly paid, it would be cited even though it was not the denied component. The examiners determined that violations of Oregon law occurred in the processing of certain claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with 743A.067 in that the insurer applied member cost share to services or supplies where such services or supplies are required to be provided without member cost share by Oregon law.

There were 74 violations noted which affected 14 denied claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Denied claims - Small group

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
7,020	108	14	12.9%

Paid small group medical claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 109 paid RHEA claims from a population of 77,963 paid claims. The examiners determined that violations of Oregon law occurred in the processing of certain claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with 743A.067 in that the insurer applied member cost share to services or supplies where such services or supplies are required to be provided without member cost share by Oregon law.

There were 70 violations noted which affected 12 paid claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Paid claims - Small group

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
77,963	109	12	11%

Paid individual medical claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 107 paid RHEA claims from a population of 2,192 paid claims. The examiners determined that violations of Oregon law occurred in the processing of certain claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with 743A.067 in that the insurer applied member cost share to services or supplies where such services or supplies are required to be provided without member cost share by Oregon law.

There were 77 violations noted which affected 14 paid claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Paid claims - Individual

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
2,192	107	14	13.08%

Finding 2: Noncompliance with the requirement to reimburse 12-month contraceptive prescription refills as required by ORS 743A.066 and noncompliance with contraception coverage requirements under ORS 743A.067.

The examiners reviewed paid and denied prescription drug claims on the insurer's claim processing system to determine if the claim was properly adjudicated in accordance with Oregon's RHEA law. Where apparent violations were noted the examiners issued findings, by line of business and by paid or denied status.

A frequent reason for the insurer's denial of a prescription claim was that the prescription was not eligible for refill at the time of request due to an insufficient amount of time passing since the previous dispensing of that drug. In some instances, claims were denied even though the claims were made after the date of eligibility listed in the denial code.

In other instances, the eligibility date was found to be inappropriate. The examiners found evidence that the insurer uses standard utilization edits at the point-of-sale, such as a "refill too soon" denial. This limits access to contraceptives if the member has not used at least 75 percent of their current prescription. In the case of oral contraceptives, several products utilize a 28-day cycle where the first 21 pills contain hormonal drugs and the last 7 pills are a placebo sugar pill. Individuals may choose or are counseled by their provider to skip the placebo week, which could result in finishing their prescribed oral contraceptive sooner than permitted by the insurer's point-of-sale claims adjudication. While a "refill too soon" denial may catch fraud or misuse of other prescription drugs, the examination found that these denials may have resulted in limiting access to oral contraceptives.

Other reasons provided for inappropriate denials included that the drug was not in the insurer's formulary, the dosage amount was not consistent with the amounts in the formulary, and the pharmacy had entered the members' demographic information incorrectly.

The examiners generally asked that the insurer provide a written response to any claims where the examiners had questions regarding the processing of such claim prior to the examiners determining if such claim was processed incorrectly and a finding of noncompliance was issued regarding that claim.

Denied individual pharmacy claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 76 denied individual prescription claims out of a population of 257 denied individual prescription claims. The insurer was not in compliance with ORS 743A.066 and 743A.067 in that the insurer failed in seven instances to provide coverage for prescription contraceptive drug which did not have a therapeutic equivalent. In 9 instances the insurer wrongfully denied a claim for being refilled too soon since the last dispensing.

There were 16 violations noted, which affected 16 denied individual prescription claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Denied individual prescription claims

Population Sample size		lation Sample size Number of errors	Error rate	
257	76	16	21%	

Denied large group prescription claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 108 denied large group prescription claims out of a population of 5,893 denied large group prescription claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with ORS 743A.066 and 743A.067 in that the insurer failed, in one instance, to provide coverage for prescription contraceptive drugs which did not have a therapeutic equivalent and in 14 instances wrongfully denied a claim for being refilled too soon since the last dispensing.

There were 15 violations noted which affected 15 denied large group prescription claim, however each claim is only criticized one time.

Denied large group prescription claims

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
5,893	108	15	14%

Paid large group prescription claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 109 paid large group prescription claims out of a population of 41,104 paid large group prescription claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with 743A.067 in that the insurer failed, in certain instances, to provide the contraceptive drug Lo Loestrin FE without member cost share.

The specific numbers of violations by provision of law are listed in the Appendix.

There were three violations noted which affected three paid large group prescription claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Paid large group prescription claims

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
41,104	109	3	3%

Denied small group pharmacy claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 108 denied small group prescription claims out of a population of 6,625 denied small group prescription claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with ORS 743A.066 and 743A.067 in that the insurer failed, in eight instances, to provide coverage for prescription contraceptive drugs which did not have a therapeutic equivalent and in 16 instances failed to provide the prescription contraceptive if a sufficient amount of time had not past since the previous prescription contraceptive was provided..

There were 24 violations noted which affected 24 denied small group prescription claims, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Denied small group prescription claims

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
6,625	108	24	22%

Paid small group pharmacy claims

The examiners reviewed a sample of 109 paid small group prescription claims out of a population of 39,689 paid small group prescription claims.

The insurer was not in compliance with ORS 743A.067 in that the insurer failed, in one instance, to provide an approved alternative to a generic contraceptive drug or device without member cost share.

There was one violation noted which affected one paid small group prescription claim, however each claim is only considered an error one time.

Paid small group prescription claims

Population	Sample size	Number of errors	Error rate
39,689	109	1	1%

RECOMMENDATIONS

The examiners recommend:

- 1. The insurer review its policies and procedures to assure that all claims are adjudicated in accordance with Oregon Insurance Code, including but not limited to, ORS 743A.067.
- 2. The insurer review its claims adjudication system and make all necessary adjustments to assure that claims are adjudicated in accordance with Oregon insurance law, including but not limited to, ORS 743A.067.
- 3. The insurer review all paid and denied prescription contraceptive claims to assure that all contraceptive claims, which are not in the formulary, were appropriately adjudicated. If there is not a therapeutic equivalent, then such contraceptive drugs should be covered without member cost share.
- Insurer personnel be trained in all RHEA requirements for proper non-cost sharing requirements and payments for RHEA-related services without other restrictions or delays.
- 5. The insurer provide further information to the division demonstrating handling of 12-month contraceptive claims to ensure compliance with refill requirements.
- 6. The insurer identify all pertinent CPT codes for services, drugs, devices, products and procedures listed in ORS 743A.067, and where applicable diagnosis codes required to properly adjudicate RHEA claims. The insurer should also consider that the purpose of ORS 743A.067 is to improve access the services identified in statute and limit medical management of those services to ensure access consistent with the purpose.

APPENDIX

Table 1: Errors by line of business

Line of business	Total claims	Sample claims	Number of errors	Error rate	Finding
Individual – Paid medical claims	2,192	107	14	13%	5
Individual – denied prescription claims	257	76	16	21%	6
Small group – Paid medical claims	77,863	109	12	11%	4
Small group — denied medical claims	7,020	108	14	13%	3
Small group – Paid prescription claims	39,689	109	1	1%	10
Small group – denied prescription Claims	6,625	108	24	22%	9
Large group – Paid medical claims	90,333	109	10	9%	2
Large group – denied medical claims	8,364	108	11	10%	1
Large group – Paid prescription claims	41,104	109	3	3%	8
Large group – denied prescription claims	5,893	108	15	14%	7
Failure to identify all applicable RHEA CPT codes	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	12

 Table 2 sets forth the frequency that Oregon law was violated across all lines of business. The

examiners specific findings are found under each finding listed after Table 2.

examiners specific finality	Bo die iou.					
Statute	Ind. paid	Small group paid	Small group denied	Large group paid	Large group denied	TOTAL VIOLATIONS BY STATUTE
743A.067(2)(a) Well woman care	7	10	13	1	3	37
743A.067(2)(b) STD counseling	1	2	1	2		6
743A.067(2)(c) Screening: (2)(c)A thru O	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
743A.067(2)(c)(A) Chlamydia	1		1			2
743A.067(2)(c)(B) Gonorrhea	1		1	1		3
743A.067(2)(c)(C) Hepatitis B					2	2
743A.067(2)(c)(D) Hepatitis C					3	3
743A.067(2)(c)(E) HIV/AIDS					2	2
743A.067(2)(c)(F) Human papillomavirus			1			1
743A.067(2)(c)(G) Syphilis		2				2
743A.067(2)(c)(H) Anemia	9	8	5	4	5	31
743A.067(2)(c)(I) Urinary tract infection	2				1	3
743A.067(2)(c)(J) Pregnancy	1		1	2		4
743A.067(2)(c)(K) RH incompatibility	1				2	3
743A.067(2)(c)(L) Gestational diabetes					2	2

Table 2 continued

Statute	Ind. Paid	Small group paid	Small group denied	Large group paid	Large group denied	TOTAL VIOLATIONS BY STATUTE
743A.067(2)(c)(M) Osteoporosis	1					1
743A.067(2)(c)(O) Cervical Cancer			2			2
743A.067(2)(j) Contraceptive drugs, devices, or product					1	1
743A.067(2)(k) Voluntary Sterilization	1					1
743A.067(2)(I)(A) Education/counseling sterilization and contraception	1	3	2	4	1	11
743A.067(3) Improper cost share	17	15	15	11	12	70
Total violations by market	77	70	74	49	58	

Table 3 sets forth for each line of business the population, and sample size of the claims which were not properly adjudicated.

	Individual paid	Small group paid	Small group denied	Large group paid	Large group denied	TOTALS
CLAIMS POPULATION	2192	77,963	7,020	90,333	8,364	185,872
CLAIMS SAMPLE	107	109	108	109	108	541
TOTAL VIOLATIONS	77	70	74	49	58	328
NUMBER OF CLAIMS AFFECTED	14	12	14	10	11	61
PERCENTAGE VIOLATION	13.08%	11.00%	12.9%	9.2%	10.18%	11.27%

Table 4 sets forth for each line of business the frequency that Oregon law was violated across all lines of business. The examiners specific findings and the insurer's response are found under each finding listed after **Table 4**.

Statute	Individual paid	Individual denied	Small group paid	Small group denied	Large group paid	Large group denied	TOTAL VIOLATIONS BY STATUTE
743A.066(2)((b)(A) & (B) Contraceptive drugs delaying access		16		24		14	54
743A.067(2)(j) Contraceptive drugs, devices, or product		7	1	8	3	1	20
Total violations by market	0	23	3	32	9	15	

 Table 5 identifies findings in the individual paid claim population.

Population	Examination review item (sample)	Diagnosis code	CPT code	Finding
			36415; 80061;	,
			82728; 83036;	
Individual –			84443; 85027;	
paid	3	Z1231	87389	Prompt pay
Individual –				
paid	23	Z124	87491	Improper cost share
			88738; 81003;	
Individual –			80061; 36415;	
paid	29	Z124	82947	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	30	Z302	55250; 99202	Improper cost share
Individual –			80048; 84443;	
paid	36	Z0000	85025	Improper cost share
			84439;	
Individual –			85025;84443;	
paid	37	Z1231	80053; 77080	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	52	N912	84702	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	63	Z7251	99213	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	64	Z01818	85025	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	71	Z139	81002	Improper cost share
Individual –			80053; 84443;	
paid	75	Z01419	85025	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	87	T8339xa	58562	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	98	R7301	85025	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	100	J101	85018	Improper cost share
Individual –				
paid	101	Z113	85025; 87086	Improper cost share

Table 6 sets forth findings from the small group paid claims population.

Population	Examination review item (sample)	Diagnosis code	CPT code	Finding
Small group – paid	1	Z30432	99202 25	Improper cost share
Small group – paid	60	Z30432	99203 25	Improper cost share
Small group – paid	69	Z206	99213; 86592	Improper cost share
Small group – paid	70	Z309	99203	Improper cost share
Small group – paid	77	Z113	99203	Improper cost share
			82670; 83001; 84144; 84270; 84403;	
			84481; 84439; 82627; 83525; 82607;	
Small group – paid	80	Z01419	82728; 80050	Improper cost share
Small group – paid	85	Z0000	85025; 80053	Improper cost share
Small group – Paid	95	Z1159	85027	Improper cost share
Small group – Paid	Supp 04	N943	85025	Improper cost share

 Table 7 sets forth findings from the small group denied claims population.

	Examination			
D. Jakian	Review Item	Diagnosis	COT Code	Fin din -
Population	(Sample)	Code	CPT Code	Finding
			36415; 80053;	
			80053;	
			83036;	
Small group –			84443;	
denied	12	Z01419	85025	Improper cost share
Small group –	12	201415	03023	Improper cost
denied	33	Z3200	84702	share—denied
Small group –	35	23200	01702	Share defined
denied	59	Z30433	99214 25	Improper cost share
			36415;	
			80053;	
			80061;	
			83036;	
	1		84439;	
			84443;	
			86038;	
Small group –			85025;	
denied	65	Z0000	85652	Improper cost share
			36415;	
			80053;	
			80061;	
			84439;	
Small group –			84443;	
denied	66	Z0000	85025	Improper cost share
Small group –		7000	Improper	
denied	90	Z0000	cost share	Improper cost share
Constitution of the second		Claim form	Claim faun	
Small group –	0.0	not	Claim form	luanuan au cast shar-
denied	96	provided	not provided	Improper cost share
Small group			87491;	
Small group – denied	97	Z113	87591; 88142	Improper cost share
uemeu	97	Claim form	00142	improper cost share
Small group –			Claim form	Improper cost share
denied	104	not provided	not provided	- denied
UCINCU	104	provided	Hot provided	dellied

Small group -				Improper cost share
denied	105	Z3046	A4267	– denied
			99386;	
			80050;	
			80061;	
}			84439;	
Small group –			82306;	
denied	Supp 04	Z0000	36415	Improper cost share
			80061;	
Small group –			83036;	
denied	Supp 06	Z01411	84443	Improper cost share
			36415;	
			80053;	
			80061;	
Small group –			84443;	
denied	Supp 11	Z0001	85025	Improper cost share

Table 8 sets forth findings from the large group paid claim population.

Population	Examination Review Item (Sample)	Diagnosis Code	CPT Code	Finding
Large group – paid	5	Z3009	99203	Improper cost share
Large group – paid	10	N910	36415	Improper cost share
Large group – paid	15	23009	99203	improper cost share
Large group – paid	31	Z30 9	99211	Improper cost share
Large group – paid	41	Z206	99211	Improper cost share
Large group – paid	44	Z309; Z3202	99201; 81025	Improper cost share
Large group – paid	65	Z206	87591	Improper cost share

Large group –	78	Z01419	82670;	Improper cost share
paid			84144;	
			82627;	
			84402;	
			84403;	
			84270;	
			84481;	
			84439;	
			82533;	
			83001;	
			83525;	
			82607;	
			82728;	
			80050	

 Table 9 sets forth findings from the large group denied claims population.

Population	Examination Review Item (Sample)	Diagnosis Code	CPT Code	Finding
Large group – denied	3	Z30015	99203; A4267	Improper cost share – denied
Large group – denied	5	M25562	G0008	Improper cost share – denied
Large group – denied	25	Z30012	S4993; S4993; 99212	Improper cost share - denied
Large group – denied	46	Z0001	86803; 85027	Improper cost share
Large group – denied	91	S91311A	90471; 90715	Improper cost share
Large group – denied	92	Z1159	86803; 87389; 85025; 87086; 81001; 86900;	Improper cost share
Large group – Denied	95	N402	80074; 87389	Improper cost share
Large group – Denied	100	Z0000	86706	Improper cost share

Large group – Denied	105	Z0184	86900; 86901	Improper cost share
Large group – Denied	Supp 5	M6281	83550; 83036	Improper cost share

Table 10 sets forth findings from the individual denied prescription population.

	Examination			
	review item			
	(sample)	Diagnosis	CPT	
Population:		code	code	Finding
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	3	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – not in formulary
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	4	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – not in formulary
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	5	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – not in formulary
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	6	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	20	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	22	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA prescriptions	25	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	38	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	40	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	41	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA prescriptions	44	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – not in formulary
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	45	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	55	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – not in formulary
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	61	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – not in formulary
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	64	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied individual RHEA Prescriptions	67	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits

Denied individual RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	76	N/A	N/A	formulary

Table 11 identifies findings from the paid small group prescription population.

Population:	Examination review item (sample)	Diagnosis code	CPT code	Finding
Paid small group RHEA prescriptions	33	N/A	N/A	Improper cost share

Table 12 identifies findings from the small group denied prescription population.

	Examination review item	Diagnosis		
Population:	(sample)	code	CPT Code	Finding
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	1	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA	_			Improper denial –
prescriptions	9	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	10	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	14	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	21	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	30	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	32	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	34	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	35	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits

Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	39	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	54	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	58	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	59	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	63	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	66	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	68	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA		1		Improper denial –
prescriptions	72	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	75	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial – not in
prescriptions	76	N/A	N/A	formulary
Denied small				
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	80	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small			<u> </u>	
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	84	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied small	1			• •
group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	89	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Presemptions	1		1	[1 2 P 2 2 3

Denied small group RHEA prescriptions	103	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied small group RHEA prescriptions	105	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits
Denied small group RHEA prescriptions	Supp 12	N/A	N/A	Improper denial – dispensing limits

Table 13 identifies findings form the paid large group prescription sample.

Population:	Examination review item (sample)	Diagnosis Code	CPT Code	Finding
Paid large group RHEA prescriptions	35	N/A	N/A	Improper cost share
Paid large group RHEA Prescriptions	57	N/A	N/A	Improper cost share
Paid large group RHEA Prescriptions	59	N/A	N/A	Improper cost share

Table 14 identifies findings from the denied large group prescription sample.

	Examination	F		
	review item	Diagnosis	CPT	
Population:	(sample)	code	code	Finding
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	21	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA	1942			Improper denial –
prescriptions	29	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	30	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial -
prescriptions	45	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	57	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	62	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	68	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	69	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	80	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 01	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 02	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 03	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 04	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA	:			Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 0 5	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 07	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 08	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits
Denied large group RHEA				Improper denial –
prescriptions	Supp 09	N/A	N/A	dispensing limits