1.	WHEREAS, MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND have been notified and understand
2	that a violation of this Order will potentially subject them to the assessment of a further civil
3	penalty or other action by the Director;
4	
5	NOW THEREFORE, the Director hereby issues the following Findings of Fact and
6	Conclusions of Law, to which MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND have consented, as is
7	evidenced by their signatures on the CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER attached hereto.
8	FINDINGS OF FACT
9	The Director FINDS that:
10	Parties
11	1. MORTGAGE TRUST was first licensed by the Oregon Division of Finance and
12	Corporate Securities as a mortgage lender on September 11, 2000. At all times relevant herein,
13	MORTGAGE TRUST was licensed to conduct mortgage lending business in Oregon.
14	2. At all times relevant herein, MORTGAGE TRUST arranged for residential
15	mortgage transactions in Oregon for monetary compensation.
16	3. At all times relevant herein, MORTGAGE TRUST conducted business from 4386
17 378-4387 18 18	SW Macadam, Suite 401, Portland, Oregon 97239.
287-18 23) 378 30) 378	4. Michael LELAND is the President and owner of MORTGAGE TRUST. At all
Salem, OK 9/301 Telephone: (503)	times relevant herein, LELAND conducted business from 4386 SW Macadam, Suite 401,
Telepl Telepl	Portland, Oregon 97239.
21	5. Timothy REID was employed as a loan originator by MORTGAGE TRUST from
22	September, 2004 to August, 2005. At all times relevant herein, REID conducted business from
23	4386 SW Macadam, Suite 401, Portland, Oregon 97239
24	6. In September, 2004 REID, in his capacity as a loan originator for MORTGAGE
25	TRUST, assisted an Oregon resident, Brian Park, that sought to obtain financing for real
26	property located in this state.

1	7. In September, 2004 REID, in his capacity as a loan originator for MORTGAGE
2	TRUST, assisted an Oregon resident, Ruth Dragoo, that sought to obtain financing for real
3	property located in this state.
4	8. In September, 2004 REID, in his capacity as a loan originator for MORTGAGE
5	TRUST, assisted an Oregon resident, Kristin Dahl, that sought to obtain financing for real
6	property located in this state.
7	The Brian Park Transaction
8	9. In September, 2004 Oregon resident Brian Park was employed as an officer in the
9	United States Naval Reserve. His gross monthly salary was approximately \$1,085.00. Park had
10	no other source of earned income during this period.
11	10. REID garnered information about Park's employment, credit, and financial history
12	for the purpose of preparing loan applications to be submitted to prospective lenders.
13	11. Based on his communications with Park, REID directed the preparation of a
14	"Uniform Residential Loan Application." The Uniform Residential Loan Application listed
15	Park's gross monthly income as \$1,085.98. The application represented that Park had been in his
16	current line of work - the military - for sixteen years, and his current posting for one year and
17	three months. Although the application lists LELAND as interviewer, REID prepared this
18	document without the knowledge or participation of LELAND. This information was
19	substantially accurate, and was based on data provided to REID by Brian Park.
20	12. REID determined that, based on Park's income, he would not be able to qualify for
21	the financing he sought.
22	13. In an effort to nevertheless garner financing for Park, REID caused a fraudulent
23	"Uniform Residential Loan Application" to be prepared, with the express goal of providing
24	knowingly false information to a prospective lender that would cause it to approve financing it
25	would not otherwise authorize. Although the application lists LELAND as interviewer REID

prepared this document without the knowledge or participation of LELAND. On this

	1
curities	1
rate Sec 10	1
d Corpora uilding Suite 410	1
tance an istries B eet NE, 01-3881	1
Division of Finance and Corporate Securities Labor and Industries Building 330 Winter Street NE, Suite 410 Salem, OR 97301-3881 Telephone: (503) 378-4387	1
Division of Finance and Labor and Industries Bu 350 Winter Street NE, Salem, OR 97301-3881 Telephone: (503) 378-4.	2
	2

1	application, REID described Park as a consultant for an entity named Computers By Design
2	located at 1225 NW Murray Road, Portland, Oregon 97229. Park has never been employed by
3	Computers By Design, nor did he represent to REID that he had been employed by this firm.
4	REID's actions constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to
5	state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the
6	circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2).
7	Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS
8	59 .971 (1).
9	14. On this same application, REID indicated that Park had been a consultant for
10	Computers By Design for "3Y and 3M" (three years and three months), and had been employed
11	in that line of work for "16Y" (sixteen years). Length of employment is an important factor in
12	the mortgage approval process. Park has never been employed by Computers By Design for any
13	period of time, nor did he represent to REID that he had been employed by this firm. REID's
14	actions constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a
15	material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances
16	under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said
17	conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).
18	15. On this same application, REID indicated that Park's monthly income was \$3,200.00,
19	nearly triple his actual salary with his true employer. REID's actions constitute the making of an
20	untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to
21	make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not
22	misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical
23	conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).
24	16. To further his scheme to garner financing for Park, REID undertook to create
25	documentation that would support the falsehoods espoused in the Uniform Residential Loan
26	Application he authored. To this end, he instructed his wife, Taunya Reid, to complete a

Τ	"Request For Verification of Employment" for Park's fictional employment with Computers By
2	Design, a business that REID had randomly selected from a telephone directory. REID provided
3	his wife with the names, dates, and income amounts to be placed onto the form, designed to lead
4	a prospective lender to conclude that Park's purported work history and reported income were
5	accurate. REID's actions constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the
6	omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of
7	the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2).
8	Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS
9	59.971 (1).
10	17. REID told Park that he had no choice but to sign the inaccurate application
11	documents. REID's actions in this regard constitute the making of an untrue statement of a
12	material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements
13	made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation
14	of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator,
15	in violation of ORS 59. 971 (1).
16	The Ruth Dragoo Transaction
17	18. In September, 2004 Oregon resident Ruth Dragoo was employed as an office
18	manager for a dentist, a position she had held for two decades.
19	19. Dragoo sought REID's assistance in an effort to refinance her mortgage so that she
20	could obtain funds to pay outstanding bills. Using objective scoring criteria, Dragoo had good
21	credit and would have qualified for financing at market rates.
22	20. On September 7, 2004, REID indicated to Draggo that he would arrange for a seven
23	percent (7%) ARM (Adjustable Rate Mortgage) with a two percent (2%) origination fee, a two
24	percent (2%) rebate, and a one percent (1%) discount. REID represented to Draggo that the steep
25	fees were necessary to reduce the interest rate on the loan.

1	21. REID ultimately arranged for financing for Draggo at a rate of 7.990%, a rate nearly
2	a full percentage point higher than she was originally quoted, without any compensatory
3	reduction in the above described fees. Furthermore, the financing that REID ultimately
4	orchestrated had the additional burden of a substantial two year prepayment penalty rider.
5	22. REID misrepresented the cost of the financing to Draggo, and structured her
6	financing in a manner designed to generate excessive fees for his benefit and to the financial
7	detriment of Draggo, who paid higher fees and a higher interest rate than market conditions and
8	her financial history called for. REID's actions in this regard constitute the making of an untrue
9	statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the
10	statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in
11	violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan
12	originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).
13	The Kristin Dahl Transaction
14	23. On August 14, 2004 Oregon resident Kristin Dahl was awarded an M.S. in Urban
15	Planning by Portland State University. Dahl had been a full time graduate student and part time
16	employee of her boyfriend's business, ENRG Kayaking, during the previous two years.
17	24. On August 1, 2004 Dahl was retained on a full time basis by an entity named "Rural
18	Development and Initiatives" at a salary of \$5,500.00 per month.
19	25. REID garnered information about Dahl's employment, credit, and financial history
20	for the purpose of preparing applications to be submitted to prospective lenders.
21	26. REID prepared a loan package for Dahl consisting of a purchase loan and a
22	simultaneous second loan. Although separate lenders were involved, the loans were processed
23	concurrently, and REID should have listed completely identical employment data on each
24	Uniform Residental Loan Application.
25	27. Based on his conversations with Dahl, REID directed the preparation of a "Uniform

Residential Loan Application" for the simultaneous second loan. The application represented that

Dahl had been employed	l by Rural Development and	Initiatives for one month,	and had been a
------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------

- 2 graduate student at Portland State University for the previous two years. Although the
- 3 application lists a Christy Bockman as interviewer, **REID** prepared this document. This
- 4 information was substantially accurate, and was based on data provided to REID by Kristin
- 5 Dahl.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

- 6 28. **REID** determined that, based on Dahl's recent hire date and the fact that she had 7 spent the past two years as a student, she would not be able to qualify for the financing she 8 sought for the purchase loan.
 - 29. In an effort to nevertheless garner financing for Dahl, **REID** directed the preparation of a fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan Application" for the express purpose of providing knowingly false information to a prospective lender that would cause it to approve financing for the purchase loan it would not otherwise authorize. On this application, REID described Dahl as a "guide" for ENRG Kayaking, who had been so employed for two years at a salary of \$5,500 a month. (Length of employment is an important factor in the mortgage approval process.) **REID** was cognizant of the fact that Dahl had been employed by ENRG Kayaking, on a part time basis, for less than two years, at a salary significantly less than the above referenced amount, and was, at the time of the application, a full time employee of Rural Development and Initiatives. **REID**'s actions constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).
 - 30. **REID** told Dahl that she had no choice but to sign the inaccurate application documents. **REID**'s actions in this regard constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation

mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Ruth Dragoo

of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator,

Supervision of Loan Origination Activities and Employment

1

2

3

in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).

42. REID caused, in connection with the Brian Park transaction, a fraudulent "Uniform

Residential Loan Application" to be prepared, with the express goal of providing knowingly

transaction, it did not prohibit **REID** from arranging for financing nearly a full percentage point

higher than originally quoted, without any compensatory reduction in fees.

23

24

and Corporate Securities Building 5., Suite 410

1

2

25

26

1

2 that he had been employed by this firm. REID's actions constitute the making of an untrue 3 statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the 4 statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in 5 violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan 6 originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1). 7 43. REID caused, in connection with the Brian Park transaction, a fraudulent "Uniform 8 Residential Loan Application" to be prepared, with the express goal of providing knowingly 9 false information to a prospective lender that would cause it to approve financing it would not 10 otherwise authorize. REID indicated that Park had been a consultant for Computers By Design for "3Y and 3M" (three years and three months), and had been employed in that line of work for 11 12 "16Y" (sixteen years). Park has never been employed by Computers By Design for any period of 13 time, nor did he represent to REID that he had been employed by this firm. REID's actions 14 constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material 15 fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under 16 which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct 17 constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1). 18 44. REID caused, in connection with the Brian Park transaction, a fraudulent "Uniform 19 Residential Loan Application" to be prepared, with the express goal of providing knowingly 20 false information to a prospective lender that would cause it to approve financing it would not 21 otherwise authorize. REID indicated that Park's monthly income was \$3,200.00, nearly triple his 22 actual salary with his true employer. REID's actions constitute the making of an untrue 23 statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the

statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in

violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan

Design. Park has never been employed by Computers By Design, nor did he represent to REID

originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).

26

1	45. REID , in connection with the Brian Park transaction, undertook to create
2	documentation that would support the falsehoods espoused in the Uniform Residential Loan
3	Application he authored. To this end, he instructed his wife, Taunya Reid, to complete a
4	"Request For Verification of Employment" for Park's fictional employment with Computers By
5	Design, a business that REID had randomly selected from a telephone directory. REID provided
6	his wife with the names, dates, and income amounts to be placed onto the form, designed to lead
7	a prospective lender to conclude that Park's purported work history and reported income were
8	accurate. REID's actions constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the
9	omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of
10	the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2).
11	Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS
12:	959. 971 (1). Pour la company de la company
13	46. REID, in connection with the Brian Park transaction, told Park that he had no choice
14	but to sign the inaccurate application documents. REID's actions in this regard constitute the
15	making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary
16	in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are
17	made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes
18	unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59. 971 (1).
19	47. REID, in connection with the Ruth Dragoo transaction, misrepresented the cost of
20	financing to Dragoo, and structured her financing in a manner designed to generate excessive
21	fees for his benefit and to the financial detriment of Draggo, who paid higher fees and a higher
22	interest rate than market conditions and her financial history called for. REID's actions in this
23	regard constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a
24	material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances

under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said

conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).

48. REID , in connection with the Kristin Dahl transaction, directed the preparation of a
fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan Application" for the express purpose of providing
knowingly false information to a prospective lender that would cause it to approve financing for
the purchase loan it would not otherwise authorize. REID described Dahl as a "guide" for ENRG
Kayaking, who had been so employed for two years at a salary of \$5,500 a month. REID was
cognizant of the fact that Dahl had been employed by ENRG Kayaking, on a part time basis, for
less than two years, at a salary significantly less than the above referenced amount, and was, at
the time of the application, a full time employee of Rural Development and Initiatives. REID's
actions constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a
material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances
under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said
conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).

- 49. **REID**, in connection with the Kristin Dahl transaction, told Dahl that she had no choice but to sign the inaccurate application documents. **REID**'s actions in this regard constitute the making of an untrue statement of a material fact or the omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in violation of ORS 59.930 (2). Furthermore, said conduct constitutes unethical conduct by a loan originator, in violation of ORS 59.971 (1).
- 50. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Brian Park transaction, it did not prohibit REID from employing a fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan Application" that misrepresented the loan applicant's employment status and history, in violation of ORS 59.865 (15).
- 51. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Brian Park transaction, it did not prohibit REID from employing a fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan

1	Application" that misrepresented the loan applicant's income history, in violation of ORS 59.865
2	(15).
3	52. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the
4	mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Brian Park
5	transaction, it did not prohibit REID from employing fictional employment verification
6	documents that misrepresented the loan applicant's employment status and income history, in
7	support of a fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan Application", in violation of ORS 59.865
8	(15).
9	53. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the
10	mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Brian Park
11	transaction, it did not prohibit REID from representing to the loan applicant that he had no
12	choice but to sign the fraudulent documents as crafted, in violation of ORS 59.865 (15).
13	54. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the
14	mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Ruth Dragoo
15	transaction, it did not prohibit REID from arranging for financing nearly a full percentage point
16	higher than originally quoted, without any compensatory reduction in fees, in violation of ORS
17	59.865 (15).
18	55. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the
19	mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Kristin Dahl
20	transaction, it did not prohibit REID from employing a fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan
21	Application" that misrepresented the loan applicant's employment history, in violation of ORS
22	59.865 (15).
23	56. MORTGAGE TRUST and LELAND failed to supervise diligently and control the
24	mortgage related activities of its loan originator when, in connection with the Kristin Dahl
25	transaction, it did not prohibit REID from employing a fraudulent "Uniform Residential Loan

PAGE 14- MORTGAGE TRUST ET AL ORDER NO. M-05-0024

1	DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) as a civil penalty for violations of ORS 59.969 (1) described herein.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
- 5	Dated this 24 day of April, 2006 at Salem, Oregon.
6	
7	CORY STREISINGER, Director Department of Consumer and Business Services
8	O 1 FA
9	David C. Tatman, Administrator
10	Division of Finance and Corporate Securities
11	and the second of the second o
12	n forfatte og kittigstatiske og skoloriske til en på en på en
13	
14	and the state of t
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Division of Finance and Corporate Scentities Labor and Industries Building 350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410 Salem, OR 97301-3381 Telephone: (503) 378-4387

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

_	CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER
2	I, Michael Leland, state that I am an officer of Mortgage Trust, Inc. and am authorized to
3	act on its behalf; that I have read the foregoing Order and that I know and fully understand the
4	contents herein; that Mortgage Trust, Inc. has been advised of the right to a hearing and of the
5	right to be represented by counsel in this matter; that Mortgage Trust, Inc. voluntarily and
6	without any force or duress, consents to the entry of this Order, expressly waiving any right to a
7	hearing in this matter; that this Order contains the entirety of the agreement reached by the
8	parties, and that no other assurances or promises have been made by either party; that Mortgage
9	Trust, Inc. understands that the Director reserves the right to take further actions to enforce this
10	Order or to take appropriate action upon discovery of other violations of the Oregon Mortgage
11	Lender Law; and that Mortgage Trust, Inc. will fully comply with the terms and conditions stated
1,2	herein.
13	Mortgage Trust, Inc. further assures the Director that neither Mortgage Trust, Inc., nor its
14	officers, directors, employees or agents will effect mortgage transactions in Oregon unless such
15	activities are in full compliance with Chapter 59 of the Oregon Revised Statutes.
16	Mortgage Trust, Inc. further understands that this Consent Order is a public document.
L 7	Dated this 13 ^M day of April, 2006.
18	$\gamma_{ij} = 0$
L9	By / / / Michael Leland
0 0	By Michael Leland By
7	By VVV U

Michael Leland,

President, Mortgage Trust, Inc.

23

24

25

	1	CORPORATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT
	2	
	3	There appeared before me this 12 day of April, 2006 Michael Leland, who was first duly
	4	sworn on oath, and stated that he was and is President of Mortgage Trust, Inc. and that he is
	5	authorized and empowered to sign this Consent to Entry of Order on behalf of Mortgage Trust,
	6	Inc., and to bind Mortgage Trust, Inc. to the terms hereof.
	7	Mil H
	8	Michael Leland
	9	
	10	(Printed Name of Notary Public)
	11	Notary Public for the State of:
	12	My commission expires: 2/17/05
	13	$M_{ij} = \{ i, j \in \mathcal{M}_{ij} j \in \mathcal{M}_{ij} \}$, where $i \in \mathcal{M}_{ij} = \{ i, j \in \mathcal{M}_{ij} \}$
Labor and Industries Building Sow Witter Street Nr. Suite 410 Salem, OR 97301-3881 Telephone: (503) 378-4387	14	OFFICIAL SEAL
	15	LINDA COTO NOTARY PUBLIC-OREGON COMMISSION NO. 377497
	16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES FEBRUARY 17, 2008
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	