



1	Now, therefore, the Director makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions
2	of Law and issues the following Order:
3	
4	FINDINGS OF FACT
5	The Director FINDS that:
6	1. Starline Solutions is a company claiming to provide mortgage loan modification
7	services to homeowners for compensation. Its purported business address is 2250 NW 114 <sup>th</sup>
8	Ave. #1A, Miami, FL 33172, and its email address is starlinesolutions.llc@gmail.com.
9	2. Starline Solutions is neither registered with the Oregon Secretary of State to
10	conduct business in Oregon nor registered with the Director, through the Division, to
11	provide debt management services in Oregon.
12	3. In or around November 2017, Carolina Carela ("Carela"), a representative of
13	Starline Solutions, contacted MM, an Oregon resident, by telephone and offered to help
14	her reduce her mortgage loan term by ten years. After several telephone calls, Carela
15	convinced MM to apply for a mortgage loan modification through Starline Solutions and
16	send money to the company.
17	4. Carela later informed MM that her application was approved, and MM received
18	a welcome packet from Starline Solutions. The welcome packet contained a welcome
19	letter, clients FAQs, a borrowers' acknowledgment, an authorization to release
20	information, and an acknowledgment and authorization of third party review. However,
21	the welcome packet did not include a written agreement with all the information and
22	disclosures required by the Oregon Debt Management Service Provider Law.
23	5. MM stopped making payments to her mortgage loan servicer, sending money
24	instead to Starline Solutions. She did so based on Carela's representations that Starline
25	Solutions would forward the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer. MM paid Starline
26	Solutions a total of \$4,520 in installments via cashier's checks as follows:

	1	I	
	1	А.	\$1,400 on or about March 16, 2018;
	2	В.	\$1,000 on or about April 16, 2018;
	3	C.	\$640 on or about May 16, 2018;
	4	D.	\$640 on or about June 15, 2018; and
	5	E.	\$840 on or about June 25, 2018.
	6	6.	MM subsequently discovered that Starline Solutions was not forwarding her
	7	payments	to her mortgage loan servicer. She stopped sending money to the company and
	8	made seve	eral attempts to contact Carela, who did not respond.
	9	7.	Starline Solutions deposited MM's cashier's checks into a bank account in the
	10	Dominica	n Republic.
	11	8.	In or around January 2019, the Director, through the Division, began
	12	investigati	ing Starline Solutions. As part of its investigation, the Division requested
	13	informatio	on from the company, but Starline Solutions failed to respond.
	14		
	14 15		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
		Th	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW e Director CONCLUDES that:
1	15	Th 9.	
1004-010	15 16	9.	e Director CONCLUDES that:
1864-816 (CDC) :	15 16 17	9. distributin	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of
(coc):epuone:	15 16 17 18	9. distributin	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS
	15 16 17 18 19	9. distributin loan, Star	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS
iere I eiep	15 16 17 18 19 20	9. distributin loan, Star 697.602(2 10.	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS )(a).
	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	9. distributin loan, Star 697.602(2 10. mortgage	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS )(a). By offering to reduce MM's mortgage loan term by ten years through a
	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	9. distributin loan, Star 697.602(2 10. mortgage	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS )(a). By offering to reduce MM's mortgage loan term by ten years through a loan modification, Starline Solutions performed a "debt management service" as
	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	9. distributin loan, Star 697.602(2 10. mortgage defined in 11.	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS )(a). By offering to reduce MM's mortgage loan term by ten years through a loan modification, Starline Solutions performed a "debt management service" as ORS 697.602(2)(c).
A MARKAN AND A MARKAN	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	9. distributin loan, Star 697.602(2 10. mortgage defined in 11.	e Director CONCLUDES that: By offering to receive, and receiving, money from MM for the purpose of g the money to MM's mortgage loan servicer in partial payment of her mortgage line Solutions performed a "debt management service" as defined in ORS )(a). By offering to reduce MM's mortgage loan term by ten years through a loan modification, Starline Solutions performed a "debt management service" as ORS 697.602(2)(c). By performing debt management services in Oregon without being registered

1 disclosures and information, Starline Solutions violated ORS 697.652(1).

13. By representing to MM that Starline Solutions would help her reduce her
mortgage loan term by ten years through a mortgage loan modification and then failing to
do so, Starline Solutions made an untrue or misleading statement, in violation of ORS
697.662(1).

6 14. By representing to MM that it will forward her payments to her mortgage loan
7 servicer and then failing to forward those payments, Starline Solutions made an untrue or
8 misleading statement, in violation of ORS 697.662(1).

9 15. Because the Director has reason to believe that Starline Solutions has violated
10 ORS 697.612(1)(a), ORS 697.652(1), and ORS 697.662(1), the Director is authorized
11 under ORS 697.825(1)(a) to order Starline Solutions to cease and desist from violating
12 these statutes.

13 16. ORS 697.832(1) authorizes the Director to assess a civil penalty against Starline
14 Solutions of up to \$5,000 per violation of the Oregon Debt Management Service Provider
15 Law.

16

17

18

ORDERS

Now therefore, the Director issues the following:

19 17. As authorized by ORS 697.825(1)(a), the Director ORDERS Starline Solutions
20 to CEASE AND DESIST from violating ORS 697.612(1)(a), ORS 697.652(1), and ORS
21 697.662(1).

18. As authorized by ORS 697.832(1), the Director hereby ORDERS Starline
Solutions to pay \$20,000 in total civil penalties, allocated as follows:

- A. \$5,000 for violating ORS 697.612(1)(a),
- 25 B. \$5,000 for violating ORS 697.652(1), and
- 26 C. \$10,000 for violating ORS 697.662(1).

	1	19. This is a "Final Order" under ORS 183.310(6)(b). Subject to this provision, the
	2	entry of this Order does not limit further remedies that may be available to the Director
	3	under Oregon law.
	4	
	5	SO ORDERED this <u>11<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>February</u> , 2020.
	6	LOUIS SAVAGE, Acting Director
	7	Department of Consumer and Business Services
	8	
	9	/s/ Dorothy Bean Dorothy Bean, Chief of Enforcement
	10	Division of Financial Regulation
	11	
	12	NOTICE OF RIGHT TO JUDICIAL APPEAL
	13	
	14	Except as provided in ORS 697.825(2)(e), you may be entitled to judicial review
	15	of this Order under ORS 183.482. You may request judicial review by filing a petition with
	16	the Court of Appeals in Salem, Oregon, within 60 days from the date this Order is served.
egulation ilding uite 410 387	17	
al Regul es Buildi VE, Suite 1881 78-4387	18	[The remainder of this page is intentionally left blank.]
Division of Financial Ree Labor and Industries Bui 350 Winter Street NE, Su Salem, OR 97301-3881 Telephone: (503) 378-431	19	
ision of or and I Winter em, OR ephone:	20	
Div Div S350 Telo	21	
	22	
1000 Mar 1000	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	