# STATE OF OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND BUSINESS SERVICES DIVISION OF FINANCIAL REGULATION

In the Matter of: Case No. S-25-0035

RBC CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC,

FINAL ORDER TO
DESIST, FINAL ORDER TO
CENSURE FINAL ORDER

CENSURE, FINAL ORDER
ASSESSING RESTITUTION, FINAL
ORDER ASSESSING CIVIL

Respondent. ORDER ASSESSING CIVIL PENALTY, AND CONSENT TO

ENTRY OF ORDER

## I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Division of Financial Regulation (the "Division"), acting on behalf of the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business Services for the State of Oregon (the "Director"), conducted an investigation of RBC Capital Markets, LLC ("RBC" or "Respondent"). The Division determined that Respondent violated provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes ("ORS") chapter 59 ("Securities Law") and the Oregon Administrative Rules ("OAR") promulgated under those laws. As the result of a coordinated investigation led by six jurisdictions, including Massachusetts, Montana, Missouri, Alabama, Washington, Texas, and Iowa (the "Multi-State Group"), the Division concluded that Respondent charged unreasonable commissions to retail customers in excess of five percent (5%) of the principal amount on certain small principal equity transactions. Nationwide, Respondent charged a commission in excess of 5% of the principal amount on approximately 89,900 equity transactions totaling approximately \$3,400,000 over a five-year period from May 16, 2020 to May 16, 2025 (the "Relevant Time Period"), which included 2,745 residents of Oregon who were charged commissions in excess of 5% totaling \$105,309.85.

This Order is submitted solely for the purpose of settlement and with the understanding that it will not be used in any proceeding unless it is accepted by the Division as hereafter set forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

If this Order is not accepted by the Division, the Order is withdrawn and shall not be used in or become part of any proceeding. If the Order is accepted, it will conclude the Division's investigation and any civil or administrative action that could be commenced pursuant to the Act for the violations resolved herein during the Relevant Time Period, solely as it relates to Respondent. This includes any investigations and any civil or administrative actions that could be commenced relating to the charging of minimum commissions in connection with trades in any security or product type, not limited to equity securities.

Respondent neither admits nor denies the findings of facts and the conclusions of law set forth below and agrees to the representations and undertakings contained herein.

Respondent wishes to resolve any and all issues in controversy regarding the specific conduct described herein on the terms set forth in this Consent Order ("Order") and settle this matter with the Director with prejudice.

Now, therefore, as evidenced by the signatures subscribed herein, Respondent hereby consents to entry of this Order.

#### II. **JURISDICTION**

- The Division has jurisdiction pursuant to ORS 59.235. 1.
- 2. This Order is made in accordance with ORS 59.295, ORS 183.417(3), and ORS 183.745(11).
- 3. The acts and practices that are the subject of the Multi-State Group's investigation occurred while Respondent was registered as a broker-dealer in Oregon.

#### III. RELEVANT TIME PERIOD

4. Except as otherwise expressly stated, the conduct described herein occurred during the time period of May 16, 2020 to May 16, 2025 (the "Relevant Time Period").

of the principal amount of the transaction.

- 12. However, Respondent's policies and procedures exempted transactions where the commission exceeded 5% of the principal amount if the commission charged was less than the Minimum Equity Commission.
- 13. The Oregon Securities Law and the Regulations prohibits Respondent from charging unreasonable commissions for services performed.
- 14. FINRA Rule 2121 Supplementary Material .01 (Rule 2121.01) provides a guideline of five percent for determining whether a commission is unfair or unreasonable. However, the "5% Policy" is a guide, not a rule. A commission pattern of five percent or even less may be considered unfair or unreasonable.
- 15. In Oregon, Respondent executed 2,745 equity transactions which included an unreasonable commission for services performed (i.e. in excess of 5% of the principal trade amount) totaling \$105,309.85.
- 16. Numerous equity transactions executed by Respondent included a commission well in excess of 5% of the principal value of the transaction.

## B. Respondent Did Not Reasonably Supervise Transactions Which Applied the Minimum Equity Commission

- 17. Respondent did not reasonably supervise certain transactions, which included a Minimum Equity Commission charge to ensure that Respondent charged its customers a reasonable commission.
- 18. Respondent's trade review system was not set to flag transactions where the commission exceeded 5% of the principal amount if the commission charged was less than the Minimum Equity Commission.

22

23

	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
ilding suite 410 387	14
eet NE, 901-3881	15
Labor and Industries Building 350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410 Salem, OR 97301-3881 Telephone: (303) 378-4387	16
Labor 350 W Salem, Teleph	17
	18
	19
	20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

	19.	Respondent did not have in place surveillance sufficient to supervise small principal
equity	transact	ions where the Minimum Equity Commission was in excess of 5%.

- 20. Respondent's surveillance system excluded transactions which applied the Minimum Equity Commission from reviews.
- As a result, Respondent failed to adequately supervise small principal equity 21. transactions where the Minimum Equity Commission was in excess of 5%.

#### C. Respondent Self-Reported to FINRA and Remediated Its Systems

- 22. On March 23, 2023, Respondent filed a Form 4530 disclosure with FINRA voluntarily reporting that it had identified certain equity transactions where the Minimum Equity Commission had been charged resulting in commissions that exceeded 5% of the principal amount.
- 23. Respondent updated its commission schedule and adjusted the parameters of its trade review system to flag any commissions that exceed 5% of the principal amount. Respondent has also updated its policies and procedures accordingly.

#### VI. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Director CONCLUDES that:

- 24. Under ORS 59.205(13), the Division "may by order deny, suspend or revoke, or impose conditions or restrictions on, a license of a person as a broker-dealer...if the director finds that the applicant or licensee . . . has failed, reasonably to supervise the salespersons or investment adviser representatives of the applicant or licensee."
- 25. Under OAR 441-205-0210(1), "Every broker-dealer shall exercise diligent supervision over the securities activities of all of his associated persons."
- 26. Respondent's acts and practices, as described above, constitute a violation of ORS 59.205(2) and OAR 441-205-0210(1).

unacceptable to the Multi-State Group ("Notice"). The Notice shall be sent prior to or with the

VII.

**ORDERS** 

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

19

20

21

22

23

- 33. Respondent agrees to, within forty-five (45) days of the date of this Order, submit to the Division, a report detailing the restitution paid pursuant to the Order, which shall include:
  - A. Identification of all restitution payments; and
  - В. Dates, amounts, and methods of the transfer of funds for all restitution payments.

## Final Order Assessing Civil Penalties

- 34. Respondent agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$20,000 to the Division within fifteen (15) days following the date of entry of this Order. Payment shall be: (1) made by check; (2) made payable to the Department of Consumer and Business Services; (3) either handdelivered or mailed to: Department of Consumer and Business Services, Division of Financial Regulation, 350 Winter Street NE, Room 410, Salem, Oregon 97301-3879; and (4) submitted under cover letter or other documentation that identifies payment by Respondent and the docket number of the proceeding, and shall include a copy of the payment invoice provided by the Division.
- 35. Respondent agrees that a person not unacceptable to the Multi-State Group has certified in writing to the Division that Respondent has undertaken the following:
  - A. Updated its commission schedule to reflect that commissions generally do not exceed 5% of the principal amount;

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- В. Adjusted the parameters of its trade review system to flag any commissions that exceed 5% of the principal amount; and
- C. Amended its policies and procedures to reflect and incorporate these changes.
- 36. Respondent agrees not to seek or accept, directly or indirectly, reimbursement or indemnification, including, but not limited to, any payments made pursuant to any insurance policy, with regard to any amount that Respondent shall pay pursuant to this Order.
- 37. If Respondent is the subject of a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy petition under Title 11 of the United States Code within three hundred sixty-five (365) days of the entry of this Order, Respondent agrees to provide written notice to the Division within five (5) days of the date of the petition.
- 38. Respondent agrees that any fine, penalty, and/or money that Respondent shall pay in accordance with this Order is intended by Respondent and the Division to be a contemporaneous exchange for new value given to Respondent pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1)(A) and is, in fact, a substantially contemporaneous exchange pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1)(B).
- 39. Respondent agrees that, upon the issuance of an Order by the Division that contains the terms as set forth above, if Respondent fails to comply with any of the terms set forth in the Order, the Division may institute an action to have this Order declared null and void. Additionally, after a fair hearing and the issuance of an order finding that Respondent has not complied with the Order, the Division may move to have the Order declared null and void, in whole or in part, and re-institute the associated proceeding that had been brought against Respondent.
- 40. For good cause shown, the Division may extend any of the procedural dates set forth above. Respondent shall make any requests for extensions of the procedural dates set forth above in writing to the Division.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

#### VIII. WAIVER

41. Respondent hereby waives all rights to contest an Order entered by the Division pursuant to this Order, including, but not limited to, (A) the right to contest whether the Order is fair, reasonable, and/or in the public interest, (B) the right to contest the Order's findings of fact, and (C) the right to contest the Order's conclusions of law. Respondent further waives the procedural due process right to a hearing, all procedural rights provided by ORS 59.295, and the right to seek judicial review of the Order under ORS 59.305 and under ORS 183.480.

### IX. NO DISQUALIFICATION

42. This Order waives any disqualification in the laws of Oregon, or rules or regulations thereunder, including any disqualification from relying upon the registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions to which Respondent may be subject. This Order is not intended to be a final order based upon violations of the Act that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct. This Order is not intended to form the basis of any disqualifications under Section 3(a)(39) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; or Rules 504(b)(3) and 506(d)(1) of Regulation D, Rule 262(a) of Regulation A and Rule 503(a) of Regulation CF under the Securities Act of 1933. This Order is not intended to form the basis of disqualification under the FINRA rules prohibiting continuance in membership absent the filing of a MC-400A application or disqualification under SRO rules prohibiting continuance in membership. This Order is not intended to form a basis of a disqualification under 204(a)(2) of the Uniform Securities Act of 1956 or Section 412(d) of the Uniform Securities Act of 2002. Except in an action by the Division to enforce the obligations of this Order, any acts performed or documents executed in furtherance of this Order: (a) may not be deemed or used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any alleged wrongdoing, liability, or lack of any wrongdoing or liability; or (b) may not be deemed or used as an admission

of, or evidence of, any such alleged fault or omission of Respondent in any civil, criminal, arbitration, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or tribunal.

- 43. This Order shall be binding upon Respondent and its successors and assigns, as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates, with respect to all conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities, undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions.
- 44. This Order and any dispute related thereto shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of Oregon without regard to any choice of law principles.

### X. FINAL ORDER

45. This Order is a "Final Order" under ORS 183.310(6)(b). Subject to that provision, entry of this Order in no way limits or prevents further remedies, sanctions, or actions which may be available to the Division under Oregon law to enforce this Order, for violations of this Order, for conduct or actions of Respondent that are not covered by this Order, or against any party not covered by this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this	20th	_day of	November	, 2025.
			O'DAY, Director ment of Consumer and B	usiness Services
		/s/ Doi	othy Bean	
		Doroth	y Bean, Chief of Enforce	ment
		Divisio	n of Financial Regulation	1

## **CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER**

I, Sean O'Connor	, state that I hold the title of Chief Compliance Officer and I				
am an authorized representative of <u>RBC Capital Markets, LLC</u> ("RBC") with the authority to sign					
this Consent Order. I have read the f	oregoing Consent Order and I fully understand the contents				
hereof. I have been advised of the rig	tht to a hearing and of the right to be represented by counsel				
in this matter. RBC has been represented by counsel in this matter. RBC voluntarily consents to					
the entry of this Consent Order with	hout any force or duress, expressly waiving any right to a				
hearing in this matter, as well as any	rights to administrative or judicial review of this order. RBC				
understands that this is a "Final Order" under ORS 183.310(6)(b). RBC understands that the					
Director reserves the right to take further action to enforce this Order or to take appropriate action					
upon discovery that it has committed other violations of the Oregon Securities Law. RBC will					
fully comply with the terms and conditions stated herein. RBC understands that this Order is a					
public document.					
Signati	/s/ Sean O'Connor are:				
Name:	Sean O'Connor				
Title:	Chief Compliance Officer-USWM				
State of NJ					
County of Morris					
Signed or attested before me on this 20 day of November, 2025					
by Sean O'Connor .					
/s/ Francine L. Lacouture					
Notary Public	My Commission Expires				