

1
2
3 STATE OF OREGON
4 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND BUSINESS SERVICES
5 DIVISION OF FINANCIAL REGULATION

6 In the Matter of

Case No. S-23-0046

7 EDWARD D. JONES & CO., L.P.,

FINAL ORDER ASSESSING FINE AND
CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

8 Respondent.
9

10 WHEREAS, Edward D. Jones & Co., L.P. (“Edward Jones”) CRD# 250, is a
11 registered broker-dealer with a principal place of business at 12555 Manchester Road, St.
12 Louis, Missouri, 63131-3710; and

13 WHEREAS, a coordinated investigation into Edward Jones’s supervision of
14 financial advisors who serviced brokerage customers who hired the firm’s investment
15 adviser to manage some or all of the customers’ securities investments during the period
16 of approximately July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2018 (the “Investigation”) has been conducted
17 by a multistate task force, coordinated among members of the North American Securities
18 Administrators Association (“NASAA”), with Texas and Montana serving as the “Lead
19 States”; and

20 WHEREAS, Edward Jones neither admits nor denies the Findings of Facts or
21 Conclusions of Law set forth herein, except Edward Jones admits that, because it is a
22 registered dealer in the State of Oregon, the Director of the Department of Consumer and
23 Business Services (“Director”) has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Oregon Revised
24 Statutes (“ORS”) 59.235 and ORS 59.245; and

25 WHEREAS, Edward Jones elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing,
26 judicial review, or appeal under Oregon Securities Law, ORS chapter 59 (the “Oregon

Division of Financial Regulation
Labor and Industries Building
350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410
Salem, OR 97301-3881
Telephone: (503) 378-4387



1 Securities Law”), and the Oregon Administrative Procedure Act, ORS chapter 183 (the
2 “Administrative Procedure Act”) with respect to the entry of this Administrative Consent
3 Order (the “Order”).

4 NOW, THEREFORE, the Director, as administrator of the Oregon Securities Law,
5 hereby enters this Order:

6 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

7 1. Respondent is a financial services firm headquartered in St. Louis,
8 Missouri, that serves over seven million investors across North America. The firm provides
9 its services through its approximately 18,000 financial advisors (“FAs”). The firm’s focus
10 is serving the needs of retail investors.

11 2. On October 24, 1981, Respondent registered with the Director as a dealer.
12 Respondent has also been registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
13 (“SEC”) as an investment adviser since October 24, 1963 and has been notice filed with
14 the Oregon Division of Financial Regulation (“Division”) as an investment adviser since
15 March 2, 2001.

16 **Sales of Class A Mutual Fund Shares**

17 3. Respondent’s general strategy with respect to its brokerage business has
18 been to focus on helping the serious, long-term individual investor by providing investors
19 with information and disclosures to aid in client choices. FAs often worked with customers
20 to offer high-quality investments with the goal of achieving diversification and investing
21 for the long term. Respondent stated in various training materials, workshops, and
22 conferences that mutual funds are a product that aligned with this philosophy.

23 4. Mutual funds typically offer more than one class of shares, with each class
24 carrying different sales charges (commonly referred to as “loads”), expense ratios, and
25 minimum initial investment requirements. Retail brokerage customers are typically eligible
26 to purchase Class A, B or C shares; these share classes have the lowest initial investment





1 requirements. The most common share class sold by Respondent was the Class A share.

2 5. The price of a Class A share includes a sales charge in the form of a single
3 “front-end load” when the shares are purchased. Front-end loads on Class A shares vary
4 but can be up to five percent of the value of the initial investment. Class A shares, like
5 other mutual fund share classes, also have ongoing annual expenses which affect a client's
6 overall costs over the life of the investment.

7 6. Class A shares are generally suitable for investors with longer term
8 investment horizons at the time of the purchase. As Respondent’s training materials
9 highlighted, in a hypothetical scenario, if a customer’s retirement goal, investment
10 objective, or time horizon for an investment is long term, the amortized costs of the sales
11 load on a Class A mutual fund share may be lower than other mutual fund investment
12 options in certain circumstances. For example, Class C shares typically charge no initial
13 “load,” but have higher annual expense ratios than A shares, making the C shares more
14 expensive over longer holding periods.

15 7. Certain FAs serviced customers that purchased Class A shares presuming
16 that the customers would hold the shares for several years. In circumstances where that
17 customer sold the Class A shares sooner than originally anticipated, the customer gave up
18 the originally perceived benefit of having paid a larger front-end load (with lower
19 corresponding annual expense ratios than other share classes).

20 The Launch of Guided Solutions

21 8. In or around 2013, Respondent conducted research directed to customers
22 and FAs to explore introducing new types of products and services, including new
23 investment advisory services. These investment advisory accounts differed from
24 brokerage-only accounts in many respects, including, but not limited to, the following: the
25 governing regulations, the applicable standard of care, the type of services provided and
26 the benefits to clients, and the way that fees for the services provided are calculated.



1 that would impose limitations on investment activities within the brokerage account.³
2 Importantly, these included strict limitations on trading, meaning a customer could not
3 continue to build on their investment portfolio within a brokerage-only account.

4 14. Respondent sent each affected brokerage account holder a “Grandfathering
5 Notice” that identified transactions that could and could not occur in a retirement brokerage
6 account after the effective date of the DOL Rule of June 7, 2016.

7 15. Respondent did encourage its FAs to meet with the customers that they
8 serviced to discuss those customers’ options. FAs provided these customers with written
9 information about the various account options as set out in a document entitled “Making
10 Good Choices” that was created by Respondent. The Guided Solutions program, which
11 included advisory services subject to a fiduciary standard of care, was one of the options
12 outlined in the brochure from which customers could choose.⁴ After meeting with the FA
13 that was responsible for their account and reviewing their account options, certain
14 customers chose to invest through a Guided Solutions or other investment advisory account
15 rather than a brokerage-only account. Those new investment advisory clients were
16 provided certain required disclosure forms and they each executed written agreements
17 containing the terms of the investment advisory program, including the fees and costs that
18 he or she would be charged for the advisory services provided. The firm also did disclose
19 in its Form ADV brochure that customers “can purchase many of the same or similar
20 investments as those available in an advisory program for a lower fee through Edward
21 Jones as a broker-dealer, although [they] will not receive the additional advisory services.”

22 Class A Share Sales Loads and Corresponding Fee Offset

23 16. Certain FAs serviced customers who held Class A mutual fund shares in

24 _____
25 ³ The effect of the DOL Rule was that registered representatives of broker-dealers could not provide
26 investment advice (i.e., securities recommendations) to retirement accounts.

⁴ The information set out in the “Making Good Choices” document is similar to the information that broker-
dealers and investment advisers are now required to provide to prospective customers in the SEC-mandated
Form Client Relationship Summary, required under Regulation Best Interest.



1 their brokerage accounts and then became Guided Solutions investment advisory clients.
2 And certain of those customers had purchased Class A mutual fund shares in their
3 brokerage account during the two or three years preceding the opening of the Guided
4 Solutions account and at that time had paid a front-end sales load of up to five percent.
5 When these customers chose to open their Guided Solutions accounts they began a new
6 and different relationship with Respondent as investment advisory clients and were
7 therefore subject to the aforementioned ongoing advisory fees upon account opening.

8 17. Respondent addressed this scenario in several ways, including encouraging
9 FAs to communicate with clients about these new and different relationships and making
10 disclosures regarding investment advisory services and fees in its Form ADV brochure and
11 in the investment advisory account opening documents it provided to clients. Respondent
12 also supervised certain transactions in brokerage accounts in connection with the opening
13 of Guided Solutions accounts, and continuously enhanced its procedures beginning in the
14 relevant period, including with respect to how assets under care were invested in Guided
15 Solutions accounts.

16 18. Throughout the relevant period, Respondent also provided a prorated offset
17 of investment advisory fees to clients who, during the two years before becoming an
18 advisory client, paid sales loads for the Class A shares. However, given the front-end load
19 of up to five percent for the Class A shares, and the annual investment advisory fee between
20 0.5 to 1.35 percent, a two-year fee offset did not fully offset the front-end load paid on the
21 Class A shares previously purchased by certain customers.

22 19. Certain of these customers had expected to pay no additional out of pocket
23 expenses relative to their investments in such Class A shares at the time of the Class A
24 share purchase. These customers ended up opening a Guided Solutions account and paying
25 an ongoing fee for the investment advisory services provided relative to those assets.

26 20. In these cases, Respondent retained the front-end load previously assessed

1 on the initial purchase of Class A mutual fund shares where that front-end load was not
2 fully offset against the annual investment advisory fees for investment advisory services as
3 described above.

4 21. Between 2016 and 2018 (the “relevant time period”), the States estimate
5 that certain FAs serviced brokerage customers who became Guided Solutions advisory
6 clients and collectively paid more than ten million dollars in front-end loads for Class A
7 shares in brokerage accounts across the United States and its territories that was retained
8 by Respondent and not applied as an offset to investment advisory fees.

9 Mitigating Facts

10 22. In foregoing restitution to Respondent’s customers, the States considered
11 the positive performance of the investment advisory accounts (as compared to the
12 brokerage accounts), the low per-customer restitution amount across the affected accounts,
13 the variability in facts and circumstances for each customer, and the prolonged time-frame
14 since the date of this activity.

15 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

16 23. The Director has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to ORS 59.235 and
17 59.245 of the Oregon Securities Law.

18 24. Oregon Administrative Rule (“OAR”) 441-205-0210(3) requires that
19 Respondent establish and maintain a system to supervise the activities of its broker-dealer
20 agents that is reasonably designed to achieve compliance with the Oregon Securities Law
21 and all applicable securities laws and regulations, including the establishment and
22 maintenance of written procedures.

23 25. During the relevant time period, Respondent did not have reasonably
24 designed procedures with respect to its activities as a broker-dealer that would have
25 detected the conduct described herein relating to the holding period of Class A share mutual
26 funds.

Division of Financial Regulation
Labor and Industries Building
350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410
Salem, OR 97301-3881
Telephone: (503) 378-4387



1 26. Respondent's failure during the relevant time period to establish and
2 maintain reasonably designed procedures relating to the foregoing constitutes a violation
3 of OAR 441-205-0210(3).

4 27. Pursuant to ORS 59.995(1)(a), the violation of the Oregon Securities Law
5 described above constitute a basis for the assessment of an administrative fine against
6 Respondent.

7 28. The following relief is appropriate and in the public interest.

8 **ORDER**

9 On the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Edward Jones's consent to
10 entry of this Order,

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

12 29. This Order concludes the Investigation and any other action that the
13 Division could commence under applicable law on behalf of Oregon as it relates to the
14 substance of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law herein, provided however, that
15 excluded from and not covered by this paragraph are any claims by the Division arising
16 from or relating to Edward Jones's failure to comply with the undertakings contained
17 herein.

18 30. This Order is entered into solely for the purpose of resolving the referenced
19 Investigation and is not intended to be used for any other purpose.

20 31. Pursuant to ORS 59.995(1)(a), Edward Jones is assessed an administrative
21 fine in the amount of \$320,754.72 payable to the General Fund of the State Oregon. Edward
22 Jones shall make this payment within 15 business days of its receipt of the fully executed
23 Order.

24 **CONSTRUCTION AND DEFAULT**

25 32. This Order shall not (a) form the basis for any disqualifications of Edward
26 Jones from registration as a broker-dealer, investment adviser, or issuer under the laws,

Division of Financial Regulation
Labor and Industries Building
350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410
Salem, OR 97301-3881
Telephone: (503) 378-4387



1 rules, and regulations of any state, or for any disqualification from relying upon the
2 securities registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions to which Edward Jones or any
3 of its affiliates may be subject under the laws, rules, and regulations of the settling states;
4 (b) form the basis for any disqualifications of Edward Jones under the laws of any state,
5 the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands; under the rules or
6 regulations of any securities or commodities regulator of self-regulatory organizations; or
7 under the federal securities laws, including but not limited to, § 3(a)(39) of the Securities
8 Exchange Act of 1934, Rule 262 of Regulation A and Rules 504 and 506 of Regulation D
9 under the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 503 of Regulation CF; (c) form the basis for
10 disqualification of Edward Jones under the FINRA rules prohibiting continuance in
11 membership or disqualification under other SRO rules prohibiting continuance in
12 membership.

13 33. Except in an action by the Division to enforce the obligations in this Order,
14 this Order is not intended to be deemed or used as (a) an admission of, or evidence of, the
15 validity of any alleged wrongdoing, liability, or lack of any wrongdoing or liability; or (b)
16 an admission of, or evidence of, any such alleged fault or omission of Edward Jones in any
17 civil, criminal, arbitration, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative
18 agency, or other tribunal. Nothing in this Order affects Edward Jones' testimonial
19 obligations or right to take legal positions in litigation in which the Division is not a party.
20 Evidence of any compromise offers and negotiations of the parties related to the Order,
21 including the Order and its terms and any conduct or statements made during compromise
22 negotiations, should not be used as evidence against any Party in any proceeding to prove
23 or disprove the validity or amount of a disputed claim except in an action or proceeding to
24 interpret or enforce the Order.

25 34. This Order shall be binding upon Edward Jones and its successors and
26 assigns, as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates, with respect to all

Division of Financial Regulation
Labor and Industries Building
350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410
Salem, OR 97301-3881
Telephone: (503) 378-4387



1 conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities,
2 undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions.

3 35. This Order and any dispute related thereto shall be construed and enforced
4 in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the Oregon without regard to any choice
5 of law principles.

6 36. This Order is not intended to state or imply willful, reckless, or fraudulent
7 conduct or breach of any fiduciary duty by Edward Jones or its affiliates, directors, officers,
8 employees, associated persons, or agents.

9 37. Edward Jones enters this Order voluntarily and represents that no threats,
10 offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Division or any
11 member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Division to induce Edward Jones
12 to enter this Order.

13 **FINAL ORDER**

14 38. This Order is a “Final Order” under ORS 183.310(6)(b). Subject to that
15 provision, entry of this Order in no way limits or prevents further remedies, sanctions, or
16 actions which may be available to the Director under Oregon law to enforce this Order, for
17 violations of this Order, for conduct or actions of Respondent that are not covered by this
18 Order, or against any party not covered by this Order.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED

20 Dated this 26th day of December, 2024.

21 ANDREW R STOLFI, Director
22 Department of Consumer and Business Services

23
24
25 /s/ Dorothy Bean
26 Dorothy Bean, Chief of Enforcement
Division of Financial Regulation

Division of Financial Regulation
Labor and Industries Building
350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410
Salem, OR 97301-3881
Telephone: (503) 378-4387



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

I, James E. Crowe, III, state that I hold the title of Senior Associate General Counsel, and I am an authorized representative of Respondent Edward D. Jones & Co., L.P. (“Edward Jones”) with the authority to sign this Consent Order on behalf of Edward Jones. I have read the foregoing Consent Order and I fully understand the contents hereof. I have been advised of the right to a hearing and of the right to be represented by counsel in this matter, and I have been represented by counsel in this matter. Edward Jones voluntarily consents to the entry of this Consent Order without any force or duress, expressly waiving any right to a hearing in this matter, as well as any rights to administrative or judicial review of this order. Edward Jones understands that this is a “Final Order” under ORS 183.310(6)(b). Edward Jones understands that the Director reserves the right to take further action to enforce this Order or to take appropriate action upon discovery that Edward Jones has committed other violations of the Oregon Securities Law. Edward Jones will fully comply with the terms and conditions stated herein.

Edward Jones understands that this Order is a public document.

Signature: /s/ James E. Crowe, III
Name: JAMES E. CROWE, III
Title: SENIOR ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL

State of MISSOURI
County of ST. LOUIS

Signed or attested before me on this 18 day of DECEMBER , 2024
by JAMES E CROWE III.

 /s/ Emily Sanders
Notary Public

Division of Financial Regulation
Labor and Industries Building
350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410
Salem, OR 97301-3881
Telephone: (503) 378-4387

