HAD TO STATE OF OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND BUSINESS SERVICES DIVISION OF FINANCIAL REGULATION

In the Matter of:

Case No. S-25-0036

LPL FINANCIAL LLC,

Respondent.

FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, FINAL ORDER TO CENSURE, FINAL ORDER ASSESSING RESTITUTION, FINAL ORDER ASSESSING CIVIL PENALTY, AND CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Division of Financial Regulation (the "Division"), acting on behalf of the Director of

the Department of Consumer and Business Services for the State of Oregon (the "Director"), conducted a review of LPL Financial LLC ("LPL" or "Respondent"). The Division determined that LPL violated certain provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes ("ORS") chapter 59 ("Securities Law") and the Oregon Administrative Rules ("OAR") promulgated under those laws, as set forth below. As the result of a coordinated investigation led by six jurisdictions, including Massachusetts, Montana, Missouri, Alabama, Washington, Texas, and Iowa (the "Multi-State Group"), the Division concluded that LPL charged unreasonable commissions to retail customers in excess of five percent (5%) of the principal amount on certain small principal equity transactions. Nationwide, LPL charged unreasonable commissions on approximately 127,045 equity transactions totaling \$2,486,739.20 over a five-year period from April 30, 2020 to April 30,

LPL neither admits nor denies the facts and the conclusions of law set forth below, agrees to the representations and undertakings set forth below.

2025 (the "Relevant Time Period"), which included 977 accounts of residents of Oregon who were

vision of Financial Regulation bor and Industries Building 0 Winter Street NE, Suite 410 lem, OR 97301-3881 lephone: (503) 378-4387 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

charged commissions in excess of 5% totaling \$31,526.08.

on the principal amount of the trade.

thousands of retail brokerage customers transactions that exceeded 5% of the principal amount of
the customers' transactions.
7. For equity transactions executed during the Relevant Time Period, LPL generally
charged retail brokerage customers according to a tiered commission schedule—calculated based

- 8. The commission schedule ranged from .60% to 1.5% of principal plus a \$5.00 confirmation fee for each trade.
- 9. LPL charged a minimum commission of \$30 on equity transactions (the "Minimum Equity Commission").
- 10. LPL's fee schedule notes that the maximum commission shall not exceed 5% of the principal. LPL's policies and procedures did not contain a similar restriction on transactions involving the Minimum Equity Commission.
- 11. The Securities Law and OAR prohibit LPL from charging unreasonable commissions for services performed.
- 12. FINRA Rule 2121 Supplementary Material .01 (Rule 2121.01) provides a guideline of five percent for determining whether a commission is unfair or unreasonable. However, the "5% Policy" is a guide, not a rule. A commission pattern of five percent or even less may be considered unfair or unreasonable under the 5% Policy.
- 13. In Oregon, LPL executed approximately 1,649 equity transactions for which the principal trade amount was \$2,500 or less that included an unreasonable commission for services performed (i.e. in excess of 5% of the principal trade amount) totaling \$31,526.08.
- 14. Certain equity transactions executed by LPL included a commission well in excess of 5% of the principal value of the transaction.

B. <u>LPL Did Not Reasonably Supervise Transactions Which Applied the Minimum Equity Commission</u>

- 15. LPL did not reasonably supervise transactions that included a Minimum Equity Commission charge to ensure that LPL charged its customers a reasonable commission.
- 16. LPL only systematically surveilled commissions in ancillary instances of potential sales practice violations—including an alert used to review accounts with potential excessive trading, an alert used to surveil account concentrations, and an alert to identify either customer specific or overall commissions generated by an agent.
- 17. LPL did not have in place surveillance sufficient to supervise small principal transactions where the Minimum Equity Commission was in excess of 5%.
- 18. As a result, LPL failed to adequately supervise small principal equity transactions where the Minimum Equity Commission was in excess of 5%.

VI. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

The Director CONCLUDES that:

- 19. Under ORS 59.205(13), the Division "may by order deny, suspend or revoke, or impose conditions or restrictions on, a license of a person as a broker-dealer...if the director finds that the applicant or licensee has failed, reasonably to supervise the salespersons or investment adviser representatives of the applicant or licensee."
- 20. Under OAR 441-205-0210(1), "Every broker-dealer shall exercise diligent supervision over the securities activities of all of his associated persons."
- 21. LPL's acts and practices, as described above, constitute a violation of ORS 59.205(13) and OAR 441-205-0210(1).

23 ///

27. LPL agrees to, within one-hundred twenty days (120) days of the transmission of
the foregoing Notice, prepare, and submit to the Division, a report detailing the restitution paid
pursuant to the Order, which shall include:
A. Identification of all payments made; and
B. Dates, amounts, and methods of the transfer of funds for all restitution
payments.

Final Order Assessing Civil Penalties

- 28. LPL agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$20,000 to Oregon within fifteen (15) days following the date of entry of this Order. Payment shall be: (1) made by check; (2) made payable to the Department of Consumer and Business Services; (3) either hand-delivered, mailed to: Department of Consumer and Business Services, Division of Financial Regulation, 350 Winter Street NE, Room 410, Salem, Oregon 97301-3879; and (4) submitted under cover letter or other documentation that identifies payment by LPL and the docket number of the proceeding, in accordance with the instructions contained in an invoice the Division will provide.
- 29. LPL agrees that a person not unacceptable to the Multi-State Group shall certify in writing to the Division within sixty (60) days of the date of entry of this Order that the LPL's policies and procedures have been changed and enhanced to ensure that all commissions are fair and reasonable. At a minimum, LPL shall certify that its policies and procedures include the following:
 - A. Compliance and Operational systems to prevent the imposition of unreasonable or unfair commissions;
 - B. Incorporation of all securities transactions, regardless of the principal amount of the transaction, into any systems used to identify and review

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

	11	•		1
1	potentially	excessive	commissions;	and
			,	

- C. Revisions to its policies and procedures sufficient to ensure the adequate implementation of the above.
- 30. LPL agrees to retain copies of any and all report(s) as set forth in paragraphs (C) through (E) above in an easily accessible place for a period of five (5) years from the date of the reports.
- 31. LPL agrees not to claim, assert, or apply for a tax deduction or tax credit with regard to any state, federal or local tax for any amounts that LPL shall pay pursuant to this Order.
- 32. If either LPL is the subject of a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy petition under Title 11 of the United States Code within three hundred sixty-five (365) days of the entry of this Order, LPL agrees to provide written notice to the Division within five (5) days of the date of the petition.
- 33. LPL agrees that any fine, penalty, and/or money that LPL shall pay in accordance with this Order is intended by LPL and the Division to be a contemporaneous exchange for new value given to LPL pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1)(A) and is, in fact, a substantially contemporaneous exchange pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1)(B).
- 34. LPL agrees that, upon the issuance of an Order by the Division that contains the terms as set forth above, if LPL fails to comply with any of the terms set forth in the Order, the Division may institute an action to have this Order declared null and void. Additionally, after a fair hearing and the issuance of an order finding that LPL has not complied with the Order, the Division may move to have the Order declared null and void, in whole or in part, and re-institute the associated proceeding that had been brought against LPL.
 - 35. For good cause shown, the Division may extend any of the procedural dates set

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

forth above. LPL shall make any requests for extensions of the procedural dates set forth above in writing to the Division.

VIII. WAIVER

36. LPL hereby waives all rights to contest an Order entered by the Division pursuant to this Order, including, but not limited to, (A) the right to contest whether the Order is fair, reasonable, and/or in the public interest, (B) the right to contest the Order's findings of fact, and (C) the right to contest the Order's conclusions of law. LPL further waives the procedural due process right to a hearing, all procedural rights provided by ORS 59.295, and the right to seek judicial review of the Order under ORS 59.305 and under ORS chapter 183.

IX. **NO DISQUALIFICATION**

37. This Order waives any disqualification in the laws of Oregon, or rules or regulations thereunder, including any disqualification from relying upon the registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions to which LPL may be subject. This Order is not intended to be a final order based upon violations of the Oregon Securities Law that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct. This Order is not intended to form the basis of any disqualifications under Section 3(a)(39) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; or Rules 504(b)(3) and 506(d)(1) of Regulation D, Rule 262(a) of Regulation A and Rule 503(a) of Regulation CF under the Securities Act of 1933. This Order is not intended to form the basis of disqualification under the FINRA rules prohibiting continuance in membership absent the filing of a MC-400A application or disqualification under SRO rules prohibiting continuance in membership. This Order is not intended to form a basis of a disqualification under 204(a)(2) of the Uniform Securities Act of 1956 or Section 412(d) of the Uniform Securities Act of 2002. Except in an action by the Division to enforce the obligations of this Order, any acts performed or documents executed in furtherance

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

20

21

22

23

24

of this Order: (a) may not be deemed or used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any alleged wrongdoing, liability, or lack of any wrongdoing or liability; or (b) may not be deemed or used as an admission of, or evidence of, any such alleged fault or omission of LPL in any civil, criminal, arbitration, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or tribunal. 38. This Order shall be binding upon LPL and its successors and assigns, as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates, with respect to all conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities, undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions. 39. This Order and any dispute related thereto shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of Oregon without regard to any choice of law principles. X. FINAL ORDER 40. This Order is a "Final Order" under ORS 183.310(6)(b). Subject to that provision, entry of this Order in no way limits or prevents further remedies, sanctions, or actions which may be available to the Division under Oregon law to enforce this Order, for violations of this Order, for conduct or actions of Respondent that are not covered by this Order, or against any party not covered by this Order. IT IS SO ORDERED. October Dated this 6th day of , 2025. SEAN O'DAY, Interim Director Department of Consumer and Business Services /s/ Dorothy Bean

Dorothy Bean, Chief of Enforcement

Division of Financial Regulation

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

I, Michael K. Freedr	nan , state	that I hold the title of $\underline{\text{EVP, Interim Co-Chief Legal Officer}}$ and I			
am an authorized representa	ative of LPL Fig	nancial LLC ("LPL") with the authority to sign this			
Consent Order. I have read the foregoing Consent Order and I fully understand the contents					
hereof. I have been advised of the right to a hearing and of the right to be represented by counsel					
in this matter. LPL has been represented by counsel in this matter. LPL voluntarily consents to					
the entry of this Consent Order without any force or duress, expressly waiving any right to a					
hearing in this matter, as we	ll as any rights t	o administrative or judicial review of this order. LPL			
understands that this is a "	Final Order" u	nder ORS 183.310(6)(b). LPL understands that the			
Director reserves the right to	take further act	ion to enforce this Order or to take appropriate action			
upon discovery that it has o	committed other	violations of the Oregon Securities Law. LPL will			
fully comply with the terms	and conditions	s stated herein. LPL understands that this Order is a			
public document.					
public document.	Signature:	/s/ Michael K. Freedman			
public document.	Signature:	/s/ Michael K. Freedman Michael K. Freedman			
public document.	C				
public document. State of South Carolina	Name:	Michael K. Freedman			
	Name:	Michael K. Freedman			
State of South Carolina	Name: Title:	Michael K. Freedman EVP, Interim Co-Chief Legal Officer September			
State of South Carolina County of York	Name: Title:	Michael K. Freedman EVP, Interim Co-Chief Legal Officer September			
State of South Carolina County of York Signed or attested be	Name: Title:	Michael K. Freedman EVP, Interim Co-Chief Legal Officer September			
State of South Carolina County of York Signed or attested be	Name: Title:	Michael K. Freedman EVP, Interim Co-Chief Legal Officer September			