## STATE OF OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND BUSINESS SERVICES DIVISION OF FINANCIAL REGULATION

In the Matter of:

Case No. S-22-0041

FX BINARY OPTION aka FXBOT,

FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST AND FINAL ORDER ASSESSING CIVIL PENALTIES,

Respondent.

On May 11, 2022, the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business

ENTERED BY DEFAULT

Services for the State of Oregon ("Director"), by and through the Division of Financial Regulation ("Division"), served an Order to Cease and Desist and Proposed Order Assessing Civil Penalties ("Notice") on FX Binary Option ("FxBot"), Respondent.

Because Respondent provided no address or location information to Oregon consumers and failed to respond to any requests from the Division for information and because there was no way to serve FxBot directly, service of the Notice was served on the Corporations Division of the Oregon Secretary of State

The Notice offered Respondent an opportunity for a hearing if requested within 20 days of service of the Notice. The Notice further informed Respondent that if a hearing was not conducted because Respondent did not timely request a hearing or otherwise defaulted, then the designated portion of the Division's file and all materials submitted by Respondent FxBot in this case would automatically become part of the contested case record for the purpose of proving a prima facie case.

The Director did not receive from Respondent FxBot a request for a hearing and has not conducted a hearing.

//

//

| Division of Financial Regulation | 350 Winter Street NE, Suite 410 | Salem, On 97301-3661<br>Telephone: (503) 378-4387 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
|                                  | UMER A                          |   |

The Director FINDS that:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1. FxBot has never been issued an Oregon broker-dealer license, and has never registered any securities offerings with the Division or at the federal level.
- 2. On or about April 2021, an individual identifying himself as "Jeff Osborne" contacted an Oregon consumer hereinafter referred to as SM. Osborne represented himself to be affiliated with FxBot, which Osborne described as a foreign currency investment brokerage.
  - 3. Osborne described himself as a "representative" of FxBot.
- 4. Osborne communicated with SM through a social media application called "WhatsApp."
- 5. Osborne, on behalf of FxBot, made material misrepresentations to SM about the purported FxBot investment opportunity, including:
  - FxBot was a "US registered legal international investment company."
- FxBot was created by a "...group of qualified experts, professional bankers, В. traders and analysts who specialized in the stock, bond, futures, currencies, gold, silver and oil trading."
- The alleged experts had "...more than 10 years of extensive practical C. experience..."
- D. FxBot had made multiple payouts to alleged investors, with the highest amounting to over \$900,000.
  - E. FxBot provides risk free investment products.
  - FxBot is regulated by the Financial Services Commission of Mauritius. Osborne, individually and on behalf of FxBot, made the misrepresentations in an effort to solicit SM to invest in FxBot
  - 6. Osborne said that FxBot only accepted the digital currency Bitcoin and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

26



| instructed | SM    | on  | how | to | use | a | money | transmitter | to | purchase | Bitcoin | and | fund | his |
|------------|-------|-----|-----|----|-----|---|-------|-------------|----|----------|---------|-----|------|-----|
| investmen  | t acc | oun | t.  |    |     |   |       |             |    |          |         |     |      |     |

- 7. On or about March 1, 2021, SM invested \$327.07 in FxBot and, encouraged by what FxBot represented were significant capital gains, SM made a series of subsequent investments between March 3, 2021 and August 4, 2021, for a total investment amount of \$69,290.83
- 8. In or about June 2021, SM believed, based on representations made by FxBot on SM's 'dashboard,' that his account balance was over \$500,000.
- 9. In or about June 2021, SM attempted to redeem some of the money in his FxBot account.
- 10. On or about June 14, 2021 FxBot sent SM a cashier's check drawn on an account that was not owned by FxBot and it was sent from an address that is not affiliated with FxBot.
- 11. SM took the check to a bank that verified that the account number on the check was for a valid account, but was not issued by that account holder. SM declined to attempt to negotiate the check when he realized it was fraudulent.
- 12. To date, none of SM's money has been returned and Respondent stopped responding to SM's messages.
- As of March 11, 2022, the website and phone number used by FxBot 13. https://fxbinaryoptiontrading.com was no longer active. Emails to FxBot customer service support, support@fxbinaryoptiontrading.com, were returned as undeliverable.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

## The Director CONCLUDES that:

14. Under ORS 59.015(19)(a), "security" means a note, stock, evidence of indebtedness, investment contract, or, in general, any interest or instrument commonly known as a "security."



- 15. The investments in FxBot made by SM constitute securities under ORS 59.015(19)(a), as those investments are investment contracts, stocks, or bonds.
- 16. Under ORS 59.055, it is unlawful for any person to offer or sell any security in this state unless the security is registered, the security is exempt from registration, or the security is a federal covered security.
- 17. By selling the SM securities that were not registered or exempt from registration and that were not federal covered securities, FxBot violated ORS 59.055.
- 18. Under ORS 59.015(1), "broker-dealer" means a person who engages, all or part of the time, in effecting transactions in securities for the account of others or for the person's on account.
- 19. FxBot acted as a "broker-dealer" when it effected trades in Bitcoin on behalf of SM.
- 20. Under ORS 59.165(1), it is unlawful for any person to transact business in this state as a broker-dealer unless the person is licensed under the Oregon Securities Law.
- 21. By transacting business in this state as a broker-dealer without being licensed under the Oregon Securities Law, FxBot violated ORS 59.165(1).
- 22. Under ORS 59.135(2), it is unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security to make any untrue statement of a material fact.
- 23. FxBot violated ORS 59.135(2) by making untrue statements of material facts in connection with the sale of the FxBot securities to SM, as more fully described in Paragraph 5 above.
- 24. Because the Director has reason to believe that FxBot has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in violations of the Oregon Securities Law, the Director may issue an order to FxBot to cease and desist from violations of the Oregon Securities Law under ORS 59.245(4).

Because the Director has reason to believe that FxBot has engaged in or is



1

25.

|  | 1  | 29. Pursuant to the authority of ORS 59.995(1), the Director hereby ORDERS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 2  | the assessment of \$20,000 in CIVIL PENALTIES against FxBot for violating ORS 59.055,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3  | 59.165(1), and 59.135(2).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5  | SO ORDERED this <u>23<sup>rd</sup></u> day of <u>June</u> , 2022.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6  | AND DEWLOTEL D   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7  | ANDREW STOLFI, Director Department of Consumer and Business Services   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9  | /s/ Dorothy Bean Dorothy Bean, Chief of Enforcement  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | Division of Financial Regulation   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1  | 11 | NOTICE OF RIGHT TO JUDICIAL APPEAL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | You are entitled to judicial review of this order in accordance with ORS 183.482. You may request judicial review by filing a petition with the Court of Appeals in Salem, Oregon, within 60 days from the date this order is served. Note |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | personal shall be entitled to judicial review of the order.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division of Financial Regulation Laborand Industries Building 350 Winter Street NE. Suite 410 Salem, OR 97301-3881 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |