

a claim with Respondent for damages incurred in a house fire eight months prior and that
Respondent had failed to resolve the claim and/or respond to her many claim related
communications. 1

- 4. Upon investigating the complaint the Division determined that Respondent:
 - A. Failed to record in its claim file and/or respond to 14 claim-related voicemails from DM;
 - B. Failed to record in its claim file and/or respond to 10 claim-related emails from DM:
 - C. Failed to respond to 13 additional claim-related communications from DM; and
 - D. Failed to notify DM within 30 days of receiving the proof of loss that it needed additional time to investigate the claim and/or provide notification at 45-day intervals while the investigation remained incomplete, in two instances.
- 5. In or around February 2022, the Division received a complaint from a contractor of Oregon consumers ("MC" and "GC") alleging Respondent failed to respond to an estimate he submitted of damages to the consumers' manufactured home, and related communications.
 - 6. Upon investigating the complaint the Division determined:
 - A. On September 16, 2021, the contractor submitted the estimate and Respondent received it that day;
 - B. On September 24, 2021, Respondent closed the claim with no further payment;²

¹ That same day, Respondent was notified of DM's complaint. On or around August 23, 2021, Respondent paid DM \$86,029.20 on her claim. On or around September 10, 2021, Respondent paid DM an additional \$8,970.80 on the claim.

² Respondent previously issued \$8,511.97 for this claim based on the initial estimate it received from a third-party assessor. The contractor estimated this payment was \$4,000 short of addressing the covered damages. The estimate he submitted supported his request for the authorization of additional funds.

	1
	1
	1
_	1
Telephone: (503) 378-4387	1
(503) 3	1
phone:	2
Tele	2
87 (A)	

1	C. After closing the claim, Respondent failed to provide MC and/or GC
2	with written notice of time limitations pertaining to their rights as claimants; ³
3	D. From on or around October 21, 2022 through on or around February 2,
4	2022, the contractor, MC, and/or GC made at least six claim-related
5	communications to Respondent, to which Respondent failed to reply;
6	E. On or around February 15, 2022, Respondent received notice of the
7	complaint the contractor submitted to the Division; and
8	F. On or around February 22, 2022, Respondent paid an additional
9	\$3,266.77 to MC and GC for their claim.
10	7. On or around October 8, 2022, after receiving additional complaints against
11	Respondent for claim delays and/or failures to respond to communications, the Division
12	directed Respondent to create a spreadsheet of the Oregon renters, homeowners, and
13	mobile homeowners claims it received from July 1, 2021 through July 30, 2022.
14	Respondent was directed to include the following data points (among others):
15	A. The date the claim was reported;
16	B. The date Respondent received the proof of loss from the claimant;
17	C. The date the claim was denied, if applicable;
18	D. The dates for every verbal and written communication received from
19	the claimant;
20	E. The dates Respondent responded to the claimant communications; ⁴
21	F. The substance of Respondent's responses;
22	G. The date the claim investigation was closed; and
23	H. Whether Respondent met Oregon standards regarding its handling of the
24	claim.
25	

³ MC nor GC were neither attorneys nor represented by attorneys in this matter.
⁴ Respondent was directed to input "N/A" for the communications to which it failed to respond.

8	3.	On	or	around	Januar	y 30,	2023,	Respondent	submitted	the	reque	stec
spread	lshee	t. I	t ide	entified	1,514 a	pplicab	le clair	ns, of which	149 includ	ed at	least	one
violati	on o	f Or	egor	ı law.								

- 9. Of the 149 claims that included a violation, Respondent identified 228 instances in which Respondent failed to respond to a claimant's pertinent claim-related communication within 30 days, or at all.
- 10. On or around August 23, 2023, the Division notified Respondent that ten of the claims initially identified in the spreadsheet as meeting Oregon standards also appeared to include violations. The Division also identified seven additional claims not included in the spreadsheet data about which it had recently received complaints against Respondent for similar conduct.⁵ The Division directed Respondent to review each claim for compliance with Oregon law.
- 11. Respondent reviewed the 17 claims referenced in Paragraph (10) and identified the following violations:
 - A. 68 instances in which Respondent failed to respond to a claimant's pertinent claim-related communication within 30 days, or at all;
 - B. Seven instances in which Respondent failed to notify the claimant within 30 days of receiving the proof of loss that it needed additional time to investigate the claim and/or provide notification at 45-day intervals while the investigation remained incomplete;
 - C. Five instances in which Respondent failed to advise the claimant of the acceptance or denial of their claims within 30 days of receiving the properly executed proofs of loss; and
 - D. Three instances in which Respondent failed to provide the claimant with written notice of statutes of limitations and/or time limits pertaining to their claim

⁵ These claims were opened after the end-date of the Division's original data request.

	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
0.00	18
c (coc)	19

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

26



when the claimant was neither an attorney nor represented by an attorney.⁶

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Director CONCLUDES that:

- 12. By failing to respond to its Oregon members' claim-related communications within 30 days, as set forth in Paragraphs (4), (6), (9), and (11), Respondent violated Oregon Administrative Rules ("OAR") 836-080-0225(3) in 339 instances.
- 13. By failing to document 24 claim-related communications made by DM in its claim file, as set forth in Paragraph (4), Respondent failed to include information pertaining to the claim in sufficient detail that pertinent events and their dates could be reconstructed, and violated OAR 836-080-0215 in 24 instances.
- 14. By failing to advise its Oregon members of the acceptance or denial of their claims within 30 days of receiving the properly executed proofs of loss, as set forth in Paragraph (11), Respondent violated OAR 836-080-0235(1) in five instances.
- 15. By failing to provide its Oregon members with notifications within 30 days of receiving the proofs of loss that it needed additional time to investigate the claims, and/or notifications at 45-day intervals while the investigations remained incomplete, as set forth in Paragraphs (4) and (11), Respondent violated OAR 836-080-0235(4) in nine instances.
- 16. By disregarding the contractor's submitted estimate and closing GC and MC's with no further payment, as set forth in Paragraph (6), Respondent refused to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation based on all available information and violated ORS 746.230(1)(d).
- 17. By failing to provide unrepresented claimants with written notice of statutes of limitations and/or time limits pertaining to their claims, as set forth in Paragraphs (6) and (11), Respondent violated OAR 836-080-0225(6) in four instances.

⁶ These claims were closed due to inactivity. Respondent refers to these as "Closed LOA No Claim Docs" claims.

The non-suspended portion of the CIVIL PENALTY assessed herein

(\$100,000) is due and payable at the time Respondent returns this signed Order to the

25

26

23.

Division.

- 24. The suspended CIVIL PENALTY (\$50,000) will be waived three years from the effective date of this Order, provided Respondent has complied with the foregoing Order terms. Respondent's failure to satisfy any term(s) of this Order will render all suspended and non-suspended penalties immediately due and owing.
- 25. Respondent shall PROVIDE all active and future claimants with written notice of statutes of limitations and/or time limits pertaining to their claims via its claim status letters, including letters closing claim files due to inactivity.
- 26. Respondent shall conduct audits of its Oregon renters, homeowners, and mobile homeowners claim files and report all claim file numbers to the Director who will randomly select 50 files⁷ for Respondent to manually review, utilizing all the data points from its prior communications with the Division regarding this matter.
- 27. Respondents shall provide the foregoing claim numbers at the following intervals from the date this Order is executed:
 - A. Three months:
 - B. Six months:
 - C. Nine months;
 - D. One year;
 - E. 18 months; and
 - F. Two years.
- 28. Respondent shall provide the requested data, identified in Paragraph (26), within 30 days of the Director identifying the files selected for that reporting period.
- 29. If, at any point during the reporting period, Respondent demonstrates a pattern of claim delays and/or failing to send required notifications and communications in a timely

⁷ If there are fewer than 50 applicable files for a reporting period, the Director will request data on a smaller number of files as appropriate.

⁸ The Director will determine whether such a pattern exists.

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

I, Ryan Lumsden, state that I am an officer of Respondent and am authorized to act on its behalf. I have read the foregoing Order and know and fully understand the contents hereof. I have been advised of Respondent's right to a hearing and right to be represented by counsel in this matter. Respondent voluntarily consents to the entry of this Order without any force or duress, expressly waiving any right to a hearing in this matter, as well as any rights to administrative or judicial review of this Order. Respondent understands that the Director reserves the right to take further actions against it to enforce this Order or to take appropriate action upon discovery of other violations of the Insurance Code with the terms and conditions stated herein.

Respondent further assures the Director that neither Respondent nor its officers, directors, employees, or agents will effect insurance services in Oregon unless such activities are in full compliance with the Insurance Code. Respondent understands that this Consent Order is a public document.

15

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

Signature: /s/ Ryan Lumsden

Position Held: Vice President

Signed or attested before me on this 30th day of September, 2024

by Ryan Lumsden.

State of Florida

County of Lake

/s/ Beatriz Corzo Notary Public

Page 9 of 9 – CONSENT ORDER

AMERICAN BANKERS - INS-24-0047